



**FOUNDATION INVESTIGATION REPORT  
GWP 392-98-00  
PROPOSED EMBANKMENT WIDENING  
HIGHWAY 17 FROM STATION 11+725 TO 11+825  
TOWNSHIP OF ROLPH**

**December 20, 2005  
TG04221**

**Prepared For:  
JMC Transportation Group  
288 St. Paul Street, Suite 106  
St. Catharines, Ontario L2R 3M9  
Geocres No.: 31K-8**

6 Copies      - JMC Transportation Group, St. Catharines, ON  
1 Copy        - DST Consulting Engineers Inc., Thunder Bay, ON

**DST CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC.**  
605 Hewitson Street, Thunder Bay, Ontario P7B 5V5  
Phone: 1-807-623-2929 Fax: 1-807-623-1792



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION .....                                   | 1 |
| 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION .....                               | 3 |
| 3.0 INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES AND LABORATORY TESTING..... | 4 |
| 4.0 DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS .....           | 6 |
| 5.0 LIMITATIONS OF REPORT .....                          | 9 |

## APPENDICES

|                             |     |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| LIMITATIONS OF REPORT ..... | 'A' |
|-----------------------------|-----|

## DRAWINGS

|                             |       |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| BOREHOLE LOCATION PLAN..... | DWG 1 |
|-----------------------------|-------|

## ENCLOSURES

|                          |       |
|--------------------------|-------|
| LOG OF BOREHOLES .....   | 1 - 7 |
| GRAINSIZE ANALYSIS ..... | 8     |

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

DST Consulting Engineers Inc. (DST) has been retained by JMC Transportation Group to conduct foundation investigations at nine locations for the proposed embankment widening for the passing lanes of Highway 17 and a culvert extension in the Townships of Head and Rolph. This report summarizes the factual information for one of these sites.

Authorization to proceed with this work was received from JMC Transportation Group. This work was carried out as part of their Total Project Management Contract for the Ministry of Transportation of Ontario (MTO) under G.W.P. 392-98-00.

The project is located on Highway 17, from 6.2 km west of Renfrew County, Road 635, easterly 18.6 km. As part of this project, it is proposed to construct one new eastbound passing lane from Station 28+250 to Station 30+850, Twp of Head, one new westbound passing lane from Station 29+120 Twp of Head to Station 12+250 Twp of Rolph and to extend the Colton Creek culvert. Within the alignment for the passing lanes, Detailed Foundation Investigation is required at nine locations for embankment widening and for a culvert extension. The specified locations for detailed foundation design are as follows:

|                   |                          |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Township of Head  | Station 28+475 to 28+700 |
| Township of Head  | Station 29+175 to 29+350 |
| Township of Head  | Station 30+025 to 30+150 |
| Township of Head  | Station 30+450 to 30+600 |
| Township of Head  | Station 30+750 to 31+100 |
| Township of Rolph | Station 10+400 to 10+675 |
| Township of Rolph | Station 11+250 to 11+550 |
| Township of Rolph | Station 11+725 to 11+825 |

Township of Rolph            Station 12+050 to 12+250

At the proposed passing lane locations, the through lanes and the passing lanes will be 3.75 m wide. Shoulder widths at the passing lanes will be 3.0 m on the side of the new passing lane and 2.3 m on the opposite side. The shoulder rounding on the side of the new passing lane will be 1.0 m.

This report addresses the field investigation and laboratory testing program for the proposed widening at the Township of Rolph, Station 11+725 to 11+825, as defined by the Ministry as the Foundation Investigation Report.

## **2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION**

Along this section of highway under investigation for the proposed widening, the existing embankment varies in height from approximately 2 m to 2.5 m within the proposed construction.

The existing ditch is grassed covered with cat tails and generally slopes downward towards the culvert located at approximate Station 11+800. The tree line is approximately 16 m from the highway centreline at mid site. No rock outcrops were evident within the study area.

A picture of the site taken from Station 11+850 looking west is shown below.



### **3.0 INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES AND LABORATORY TESTING**

Site work was carried out between December 2 and 8, 2004 utilizing a track mounted CME 75 drill rig equipped for geotechnical drilling and operated by DST. Seven boreholes were put down to depths ranging between 6.75 to 10.8 m.

Borehole locations and a stratigraphic profile and section are shown on the Borehole Location Plan, Drawing No. 1. Boreholes 48 and 52 are located in the shoulder of the roadway while Boreholes 46, 47 and 49 to 51 are located between the toes of the existing and proposed embankments. The boreholes were advanced with hollow stem augers to a depth of 10.8 m or auger refusal which occurred in Boreholes 50 and 51 at a depth of 7.5 and 6.7 m respectively.

Soil samples were obtained from the auger flights and from the split spoon sampler used for the standard penetration test (SPT). The SPT involves driving a 50 mm diameter thick-walled sampler into the soil under the energy of a 63.5 kg weight falling through 760 mm. The number of blows required to drive the sampler 300 mm is known as the standard penetration blow count (N) which provides an indication of the denseness or consistency of the soil. Representative soil samples are obtained from within the sampler. Borehole Logs are presented as Enclosures 1 to 7.

Ground surface elevations at the borehole locations were surveyed by DST and referenced to the centreline of highway at Station 11+725. The elevation of the centreline was taken as 177.1 m from K Smart Associates Limited survey of the site.

The fieldwork was supervised on a full-time basis by DST personnel who located the boreholes in the field, supervised the drilling, sampling and in-situ testing, and logged the boreholes. The soil samples were identified in the field, placed in labelled containers and transported to DST's

laboratory in Thunder Bay for further analysis.

Classification and index tests were subsequently performed in the laboratory on samples collected from the boreholes to aid in the selection of engineering properties. Laboratory tests included natural moisture contents and gradation analyses. Laboratory test results are presented on the Borehole Logs and Enclosure 8. Where samples were non-plastic, grainsize analyses were carried out above the 0.075 mm sieve which is adequate for the purposes of soil classification and assessment of frost susceptibility. Other analyses of the finer fractions of non-plastic soils were not carried out given that soil permeability estimates were not required.

## **4.0 DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS**

### **4.1 Published Engineering Geology**

The Quaternary and bedrock geology of the area under investigation as reported by John F. Gartner and P.F. VanDine in the Northern Ontario Engineering Geology Terrain Study 103, Deep River Area (NTS31K/SW) District of Nipissing and County of Renfrew consists of a discontinuous veneer of ground moraine till over the bedrock. During deglaciation, a number of glaciofluvial deposits were formed (Chapman 1975). Precambrian rocks of the Grenville Structural Province underlie the Deep River map area. The oldest and most abundant rocks of the metamorphic complex are metasediments derived largely from siliceous sandstone and siltstones. These metasediments consist of a variety of gneisses and can be found throughout most of the map area.

The mapping associated with the above report indicates that the soil, landform, topography and drainage generally consist of sand and gravel outwash plain with moderate local relief and dry.

### **4.2 Field Observations**

The generalized stratigraphy of the site based on the borehole locations off the highway consist of a thin layer of organics overlying a sand. Auger refusal occurred in Boreholes 50 and 51. The refusal material was not confirmed by diamond drilling techniques, therefore could be boulders or bedrock.

The highway fill, as identified by Boreholes 48 and 52, consists of granular fill up to 2.0 m in thickness. A trace of organics was found in the split spoon sample at 1.5 m in both boreholes. Below the granular fill a sand exists to the depth of penetration to depths up to 10.5 m.

### **4.3 Embankment Fill**

The fill in the highway embankment consists of sand and gravel. The sand and gravel comprises

the base and subbase materials. The base materials vary in thickness from 0.3 to 0.35 m. The subbase varies in thickness from 1.5 to 1.65 m. The bottom of the fill varies between elevations 174.9 m and 175.0 m at the borehole locations.

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) results generally indicate loose to compact state of denseness (N values vary from 8 blows/0.3 m to 11 blows/0.3 m).

Gradation analyses (Enclosure 8) conducted on samples from Boreholes 48 at 0.75 m and indicate 13.0% fines, 72% sand and 15% gravel.

#### **4.4 Organics**

An thin organic layer is present at surface in all boreholes located off the Highway varying from Elevation 174.9 m to 177.1 m. The organic layer varies in thickness from 0.25 m to 0.4 m. The organic layer consists of topsoil. At Borehole 50 only, a layer of peat, 0.2 m in thickness was present below the topsoil. Peat layers may be present at other locations outside the highway embankment between borehole locations.

A trace of organics was also found in the split spoon sample from Boreholes 48 and 52 beneath the road fill at a sample depth of 1.5 m.

#### **4.5 Sand**

From beneath the fill and/or organics noted above, a sand exists with varying silt content to the extent of the boreholes. Gradation analyses conducted on representative samples retrieved from the field investigation at Boreholes 46, 48 and 51 indicate 0% gravel content, 48% to 92% sand and 8 to 52% silt (Enclosure 8).

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) results generally indicate a very loose to compact state of denseness (N values vary from 2 blows/0.3 m to 22 blows/0.3 m).

#### **4.6 Groundwater**

The groundwater levels taken on completion of drilling are noted on the Borehole Logs, Enclosures 1 to 7. The groundwater level noted during our field investigation varied between 0.7 m and 2.9 m below existing grade. For design purposes, the high groundwater level should be taken at the natural ground surface outside the embankment.

Groundwater levels may fluctuate seasonally and in response to climatic conditions.

## **5.0 LIMITATIONS OF REPORT**

A description of limitations which are inherent in carrying out site investigation studies is given in Appendix "A", and this forms an integral part of this report.

For DST CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC.

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

R.F. Crowley, P. Eng.  
Sr. Project Engineer

Mike Fabius, P. Eng.  
Principal

RFC:dm

**APPENDIX 'A'**  
**LIMITATIONS OF REPORT**

# LIMITATIONS OF REPORT

## GEOTECHNICAL STUDIES

The data, conclusions and recommendations which are presented in this report, and the quality thereof, are based on a scope of work authorized by the Client. Note that no scope of work, no matter how exhaustive, can identify all conditions below ground. Subsurface and groundwater conditions between and beyond the testholes may differ from those encountered at the specific locations tested, and conditions may become apparent during construction which were not detected and could not be anticipated at the time of the site investigation. Conditions can also change with time. It is recommended practice that DST Consulting Engineers be retained during construction to confirm that the subsurface conditions throughout the site do not deviate materially from those encountered in the testholes. The benchmark and elevations used in this report are primarily to establish relative elevation differences between the testhole locations and should not be used for other purposes, such as grading, excavation, planning, development, etc.

The design recommendations given in this report are applicable only to the project described in the text and then only if constructed substantially in accordance with details stated in this report. Since all details of the design may not be known, we recommend that we be retained during the final stage to verify that the design is consistent with our recommendations, and that assumptions made in our analysis are valid.

Unless otherwise noted, the information contained herein in no way reflects on environmental aspects of either the site or the subsurface conditions.

The comments given in this report on potential construction problems and possible methods are intended only for the guidance of the designer. The number of testholes may not be sufficient to determine all the factors that may affect construction methods and costs, e.g. the thickness of surficial topsoil or fill layers may vary markedly and unpredictably. The contractors bidding on this project or undertaking the construction should, therefore, make their own interpretation of the factual information presented and draw their own conclusion as to how the subsurface conditions may affect their work.

Any results from an analytical laboratory or other subcontractor reported herein have been carried out by others, and DST Consulting Engineers Inc. cannot warranty their accuracy. Similarly, DST cannot warranty the accuracy of information supplied by the client.

# **DRAWINGS**

**ENCLOSURES**

# EXPLANATION OF TERMS USED IN REPORT

**N VALUE:** THE STANDARD PENETRATION TEST (SPT) N VALUE IS THE NUMBER OF BLOWS REQUIRED TO CAUSE A STANDARD 51mm O.D SPLIT BARREL SAMPLER TO PENETRATE 0.3m INTO UNDISTURBED GROUND IN A BOREHOLE WHEN DRIVEN BY A HAMMER WITH A MASS OF 63.5kg, FALLING FREELY A DISTANCE OF 0.76m FOR PENETRATIONS OF LESS THAN 0.3m N VALUES ARE INDICATED AS THE NUMBER OF BLOWS FOR THE PENETRATION ACHIEVED. AVERAGE N VALUE IS DENOTED THUS  $\bar{N}$ .

**DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION TEST:** CONTINUOUS PENETRATION OF A CONICAL STEEL POINT (51mm O.D 60° CONE ANGLE) DRIVEN BY 475 J IMPACT ENERGY ON 'A' SIZE DRILL RODS. THE RESISTANCE TO CONE PENETRATION IS MEASURED AS THE NUMBER OF BLOWS FOR EACH 0.3m ADVANCE OF THE CONICAL POINT INTO THE UNDISTURBED GROUND.

SOILS ARE DESCRIBED BY THEIR COMPOSITION AND CONSISTENCY OR DENSENESS.

**CONSISTENCY:** COHESIVE SOILS ARE DESCRIBED ON THE BASIS OF THEIR UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH ( $c_u$ ) AS FOLLOWS:

| $c_u$ (kPa) | 0 - 12    | 12 - 25 | 25 - 50 | 50 - 100 | 100 - 200  | > 200 |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|------------|-------|
|             | VERY SOFT | SOFT    | FIRM    | STIFF    | VERY STIFF | HARD  |

**DENSENESS:** COHESIONLESS SOILS ARE DESCRIBED ON THE BASIS OF DENSENESS AS INDICATED BY SPT N VALUES AS FOLLOWS:

| N (BLOWS/0.3m) | 0 - 5      | 5 - 10 | 10 - 30 | 30 - 50 | > 50       |
|----------------|------------|--------|---------|---------|------------|
|                | VERY LOOSE | LOOSE  | COMPACT | DENSE   | VERY DENSE |

ROCKS ARE DESCRIBED BY THEIR COMPOSITION AND STRUCTURAL FEATURES AND /OR STRENGTH.

**RECOVERY:** SUM OF ALL RECOVERED ROCK CORE PIECES FROM A CORING RUN EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT OF THE TOTAL LENGTH OF THE CORING RUN.

**MODIFIED RECOVERY:** SUM OF THOSE INTACT CORE PIECES, 100mm+ IN LENGTH EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT OF THE LENGTH OF THE CORING RUN. THE ROCK QUALITY DESIGNATION (RQD), FOR MODIFIED RECOVERY, IS:

| RQD (%) | 0 - 25    | 25 - 50 | 50 - 75 | 75 - 90 | 90 - 100  |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
|         | VERY POOR | POOR    | FAIR    | GOOD    | EXCELLENT |

**JOINTING AND BEDDING:**

| SPACING  | 50mm       | 50 - 300mm | 0.3m - 1m  | 1m - 3m | > 3m       |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|---------|------------|
| JOINTING | VERY CLOSE | CLOSE      | MOD. CLOSE | WIDE    | VERY WIDE  |
| BEDDING  | VERY THIN  | THIN       | MEDIUM     | THICK   | VERY THICK |

## ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

### FIELD SAMPLING

|     |                     |     |                            |
|-----|---------------------|-----|----------------------------|
| S S | SPLIT SPOON         | T P | THINWALL PISTON            |
| W S | WASH SAMPLE         | O S | OSTERBERG SAMPLE           |
| S T | SLOTTED TUBE SAMPLE | R C | ROCK CORE                  |
| B S | BLOCK SAMPLE        | P H | T W ADVANCED HYDRAULICALLY |
| C S | CHUNK SAMPLE        | P M | T W ADVANCED MANUALLY      |
| T W | THINWALL OPEN       | F S | FOIL SAMPLE                |

### STRESS AND STRAIN

|                                      |     |                               |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|
| $u_w$                                | kPa | PORE WATER PRESSURE           |
| $r_u$                                | 1   | PORE PRESSURE RATIO           |
| $\sigma$                             | kPa | TOTAL NORMAL STRESS           |
| $\sigma'$                            | kPa | EFFECTIVE NORMAL STRESS       |
| $\tau$                               | kPa | SHEAR STRESS                  |
| $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$       | kPa | PRINCIPAL STRESSES            |
| $\epsilon$                           | %   | LINEAR STRAIN                 |
| $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$ | %   | PRINCIPAL STRAINS             |
| E                                    | kPa | MODULUS OF LINEAR DEFORMATION |
| G                                    | kPa | MODULUS OF SHEAR DEFORMATION  |
| $\mu$                                | 1   | COEFFICIENT OF FRICTION       |

### MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL

|                |            |                                      |
|----------------|------------|--------------------------------------|
| $m_v$          | $kPa^{-1}$ | COEFFICIENT OF VOLUME CHANGE         |
| $C_c$          | 1          | COMPRESSION INDEX                    |
| $C_s$          | 1          | SWELLING INDEX                       |
| $C_\alpha$     | 1          | RATE OF SECONDARY CONSOLIDATION      |
| $c_v$          | $m^2/s$    | COEFFICIENT OF CONSOLIDATION         |
| H              | m          | DRAINAGE PATH                        |
| $T_v$          | 1          | TIME FACTOR                          |
| U              | %          | DEGREE OF CONSOLIDATION              |
| $\sigma'_{vo}$ | kPa        | EFFECTIVE OVERBURDEN PRESSURE        |
| $\sigma'_p$    | kPa        | PRECONSOLIDATION PRESSURE            |
| $\tau_f$       | kPa        | SHEAR STRENGTH                       |
| $c'$           | kPa        | EFFECTIVE COHESION INTERCEPT         |
| $\phi'$        | -°         | EFFECTIVE ANGLE OF INTERNAL FRICTION |
| $c_u$          | kPa        | APPARENT COHESION INTERCEPT          |
| $\phi_u$       | -°         | APPARENT ANGLE OF INTERNAL FRICTION  |
| $\tau_R$       | kPa        | RESIDUAL SHEAR STRENGTH              |
| $\tau_r$       | kPa        | REMOULDED SHEAR STRENGTH             |
| $S_f$          | 1          | SENSITIVITY = $\frac{c_u}{\tau_r}$   |

### PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL

|                |          |                                |           |      |  |           |          |   |
|----------------|----------|--------------------------------|-----------|------|--|-----------|----------|---|
| $\rho_s$       | $kg/m^3$ | DENSITY OF SOLID PARTICLES     | e         | 1, % | VOID RATIO                                 | $e_{min}$ | 1, %     | VOID RATIO IN DENSEST STATE                             |
| $\gamma_s$     | $kN/m^3$ | UNIT WEIGHT OF SOLID PARTICLES | n         | 1, % | POROSITY                                   | $I_D$     | 1        | DENSITY INDEX = $\frac{e_{max} - e}{e_{max} - e_{min}}$ |
| $\rho_w$       | $kg/m^3$ | DENSITY OF WATER               | w         | 1, % | WATER CONTENT                              | D         | mm       | GRAIN DIAMETER  |
| $\gamma_w$     | $kN/m^3$ | UNIT WEIGHT OF WATER           | $S_r$     | %    | DEGREE OF SATURATION                       | $D_n$     | mm       | n PERCENT - DIAMETER                                    |
| $\rho$         | $kg/m^3$ | DENSITY OF SOIL                | $w_L$     | %    | LIQUID LIMIT                               | $C_u$     | 1        | UNIFORMITY COEFFICIENT                                  |
| $\gamma$       | $kN/m^3$ | UNIT WEIGHT OF SOIL            | $w_p$     | %    | PLASTIC LIMIT                              | h         | m        | HYDRAULIC HEAD OR POTENTIAL                             |
| $\rho_d$       | $kg/m^3$ | DENSITY OF DRY SOIL            | $w_s$     | %    | SHRINKAGE LIMIT                            | q         | $m^3/s$  | RATE OF DISCHARGE                                       |
| $\gamma_d$     | $kN/m^3$ | UNIT WEIGHT OF DRY SOIL        | $I_p$     | %    | PLASTICITY INDEX = $\frac{w_L - w_p}{I_p}$ | v         | m/s      | DISCHARGE VELOCITY                                      |
| $\rho_{sat}$   | $kg/m^3$ | DENSITY OF SATURATED SOIL      | $I_L$     | 1    | LIQUIDITY INDEX = $\frac{w - w_p}{I_p}$    | i         | 1        | HYDRAULIC GRADIENT                                      |
| $\gamma_{sat}$ | $kN/m^3$ | UNIT WEIGHT OF SATURATED SOIL  | $I_C$     | 1    | CONSISTENCY INDEX = $\frac{w_L - w}{I_p}$  | k         | m/s      | HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY                                  |
| $\rho'$        | $kg/m^3$ | DENSITY OF SUBMERGED SOIL      | $e_{max}$ | 1, % | VOID RATIO IN LOOSEST STATE                | j         | $kn/m^3$ | SEEPAGE FORCE   |
| $\gamma'$      | $kN/m^3$ | UNIT WEIGHT OF SUBMERGED SOIL  |           |      |  |           |          |   |