



February 11, 2015

FOUNDATION INVESTIGATION AND DESIGN REPORT

HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT AT STA 17+802, SITE 49-070
TOWNSHIP OF ALLAN, MANITOULIN ISLAND, ONTARIO
MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION, ONTARIO
GWP 5057-07-00, WP 5060-07-01

Submitted to:

AECOM Canada Ltd.
189 Wyld Street, Suite 103
North Bay, ON P1B 1Z2



GEOCRES NO. 41G-19

Report Number: 13-1191-0005-R3

Distribution:

5 Copies: Ministry of Transportation, Ontario, North Bay, Ontario (Northeastern Region)
1 Copy: Ministry of Transportation, Ontario, Downsview, Ontario (Pavement and Foundations Section)
2 Copies: AECOM Canada Ltd., North Bay, Ontario
1 Copy: Golder Associates Ltd., Sudbury, Ontario

REPORT





Table of Contents

PART A – FOUNDATION INVESTIGATION REPORT

| | |
|---|----------|
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION..... | 1 |
| 3.0 INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES | 1 |
| 4.0 SITE GEOLOGY AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS | 2 |
| 4.1 Regional Geology | 2 |
| 4.2 Subsurface Conditions..... | 2 |
| 4.2.1 Embankment Fill | 3 |
| 4.2.2 Silt and Sand..... | 3 |
| 4.2.3 Bedrock/Refusal..... | 3 |
| 4.2.4 Groundwater Conditions | 4 |
| 5.0 CLOSURE..... | 5 |

PART B - FOUNDATION DESIGN REPORT

| | |
|--|----------|
| 6.0 DISCUSSION AND ENGINEERING RECOMMENDATIONS..... | 7 |
| 6.1 General..... | 7 |
| 6.2 Geotechnical Resistance | 7 |
| 6.2.1 Open Footing Culvert..... | 7 |
| 6.2.2 Concrete Box Culvert..... | 7 |
| 6.2.3 Resistance to Lateral Loads/Sliding Resistance | 8 |
| 6.2.4 Frost Protection..... | 8 |
| 6.3 Stability, Settlement and Horizontal Strain..... | 8 |
| 6.3.1 Stability | 8 |
| 6.3.2 Settlement..... | 8 |
| 6.3.3 Horizontal Strain..... | 9 |
| 6.4 Lateral Earth Pressures | 9 |
| 6.5 Culvert Construction Considerations | 10 |
| 6.5.1 Excavations, Subgrade Preparation and Backfill | 10 |
| 6.5.2 Erosion Protection..... | 11 |



FOUNDATION REPORT HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT, SITE 49-070

| | | |
|------------|---|-----------|
| 6.5.3 | Control of Groundwater and Surface Water | 11 |
| 6.5.4 | Analytical Testing for Construction Materials | 12 |
| 7.0 | CLOSURE..... | 12 |

REFERENCES

DRAWINGS

Drawing 1 Borehole Locations and Soil Strata

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

APPENDICES

Appendix A Record of Boreholes and Drillholes

List of Symbols and Abbreviations

Lithological and Geotechnical Rock Description Terminology

Record of Boreholes – BC-1 to BC-4

Record of Drillholes – BC-1 to BC-4

Appendix B Laboratory Test Results

Table B1 Summary of Analytical Testing of Burnt Creek Water Sample

Table B2 Summary of Rock Core Test Data

Figure B1 Grain Size Distribution – Sand and Gravel (Fill)

Figure B2 Bedrock Core Photographs

Appendix C Non-Standard Special Provisions

NSSP Groundwater Control



PART A

FOUNDATION INVESTIGATION REPORT
HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT AT STA17+802, SITE 49-070
TOWNSHIP OF ALLAN, MANITOULIN ISLAND, ONTARIO
MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION, ONTARIO
GWP 5057-07-00, WP 5060-07-01



1.0 INTRODUCTION

Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder) has been retained by AECOM Canada Ltd. (AECOM) on behalf of the Ministry of Transportation, Ontario (MTO) to provide foundation engineering services for the replacement of the Burnt Creek culvert (Site 49-070) in the Township of Allan on Manitoulin Island, Ontario. The Key Plan showing the general location of this section of Highway 540 and the location of the investigated area are shown on Drawing 1.

The purpose of this investigation is to establish the subsurface conditions at the location of the culvert by borehole drilling, in situ testing and laboratory testing on selected samples.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The Burnt Creek culvert is located on Highway 540 at STA 17+802 approximately 2.5 km east of Beange Road west of Kagawong, Ontario. The land use in the area is generally rural (i.e., farm land) with a few residences in the vicinity of the site.

In general, the topography in the area of the overall project limits is flat. The creek flows from north to south. Photographs taken at the site are included following the text of the report.

The existing culvert is 12.3 m long, 3 m wide and 1 m high and the highway grade at the culvert site is at about Elevation 231.6 m. The creek water level was measured by Golder on September 26, 2014, at Elevation 230.0 m.

3.0 INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES

The fieldwork for the investigation was carried on September 24 and 30, 2014, during which time a total of four boreholes (Boreholes BC-1 to BC-4) were advanced at the locations shown on Drawing 1. Boreholes BC-1 and BC-4 were advanced using a track-mounted CME-55 drill rig and Boreholes BC-2 and BC-3 were advanced using a truck-mounted CME-55 drill rig. Both drill rigs were supplied and operated by Landcore Drilling of Sudbury, Ontario.

The boreholes were advanced through the overburden using 108 mm inside diameter hollow-stem augers. Soil samples were obtained at intervals of depth of about 0.75 m, using a 50 mm outer diameter split-spoon sampler, operated by an automatic hammer on the drill rig, in accordance with Standard Penetration Test (SPT) procedures (ASTM D1586). Samples of the bedrock were obtained using NW casing and NQ size rock core barrels in each of the boreholes. The groundwater levels in the open boreholes were observed during the drilling operations as described on the Record of Borehole sheets in Appendix A. The boreholes were backfilled upon completion in accordance with Ontario Regulation 903 (as amended).

The fieldwork was supervised throughout by a member of our technical staff who: located the boreholes; arranged for the clearance of underground services; supervised the drilling and sampling operations; logged the boreholes; and examined and cared for the soil and bedrock samples. The samples were identified in the field, placed in appropriate containers, labelled and transported to our Sudbury Geotechnical Laboratory where the samples underwent further visual examination and laboratory testing. Classification testing (water content and



FOUNDATION REPORT HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT, SITE 49-070

grain size distribution) was carried out on one selected soil sample. In addition, unconfined compressive strength (UCS) tests were carried out on selected specimens of the bedrock core recovered from the boreholes. The geotechnical laboratory testing was completed according to MTO LS standards.

A sample of the creek water was obtained using appropriate sampling protocols and submitted to a specialist analytical laboratory under chain of custody procedures for testing for a suite of parameters.

The as-drilled borehole locations and ground surface elevations were measured and surveyed by members of our technical staff, referenced to stations on the highway. The MTM NAD 83 northing and easting coordinates, ground surface elevations referenced to Geodetic datum and borehole depths at each borehole location are presented on the Record of Borehole sheets in Appendix A and are summarized below.

| Borehole | Location (m) | | Ground Surface Elevation (m) | Borehole Depth (m) |
|----------|--------------|----------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Northing | Easting | | |
| BC-1 | 5084088.2 | 317720.8 | 230.0 | 1.8 |
| BC-2 | 5084096.1 | 317720.2 | 231.6 | 5.1 |
| BC-3 | 5084092.2 | 317713.2 | 231.6 | 5.1 |
| BC-4 | 5084102.5 | 317713.1 | 230.0 | 2.0 |

4.0 SITE GEOLOGY AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

4.1 Regional Geology

Based on surficial geology mapping from the Ministry of Natural Resources¹, the site is located within areas containing post-Precambrian bedrock bordering with lacustrine and glaciolacustrine deposit consisting of silt and clay.

Based on bedrock geology mapping from the Ministry of Natural Resources², the bedrock in the area consists of shale, sandstone, dolostone and limestone units of the Clinton-Cataract Group.

4.2 Subsurface Conditions

The detailed subsurface soil and groundwater conditions as encountered in the boreholes advanced for this investigation, together with the results of the laboratory tests carried out on selected soil and bedrock core samples, are given on the attached Record of Borehole and Drillhole sheets in Appendix A. The results of the laboratory testing are provided in Appendix B. The results of the analytical testing on the samples of creek water are summarized in Table B1 in Appendix B. The stratigraphic boundaries shown on the Record of Borehole sheets are inferred from non-continuous sampling, observations of drilling progress and the results of SPTs and rock coring. These boundaries, therefore, represent transitions between soil types rather than exact planes of

¹ Ministry of Natural Resources, electronic mapping obtained 2014, MRD128, 2006

² Ministry of Natural Resources, electronic mapping obtained 2014, MRD219, 2007



geological change. Further, subsurface conditions will vary between and beyond the borehole locations. The inferred soil stratigraphy based on the results of the boreholes is shown in profile on Drawing 1.

A detailed description of the subsurface conditions encountered in the boreholes is provided in the following sections.

4.2.1 Embankment Fill

The embankment fill at the culvert location consists of asphalt overlying granular fill.

Asphalt

A 125 mm and 75 mm thick layer of asphalt was encountered at ground surface in Boreholes BC-2 and BC-3, respectively.

Granular Fill

A 1.9 m and 1.8 m thick layer of granular fill was encountered below the asphalt in Boreholes BC-2 and BC-3, respectively. The granular fill consist of brown, moist, sand and gravel, trace silt.

Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N'-values in the granular fill range between 4 blows and 13 blows per 0.3 m of penetration indicating a loose to compact relative density.

The natural moisture content measured on a sample of the gravelly sand fill is 8 per cent.

The result of the grain size distribution test completed on a sample of the sand and gravel fill is shown on Figure B1 in Appendix B.

4.2.2 Silt and Sand

A 0.1 m thick deposit of silt and sand, trace to some clay was encountered below the granular fill in Borehole BC-3. The surface of the silt and sand deposit was encountered at a depth of 1.9 m below the existing ground surface, at Elevation 229.7 m.

4.2.3 Bedrock/Refusal

Bedrock was cored in all of the boreholes and the depth to the bedrock surface and bedrock surface elevations are presented below.



FOUNDATION REPORT HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT, SITE 49-070

| Borehole No. | Depth to Bedrock (below ground surface) (m) | Bedrock Surface Elevation (m) | Notes |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| BC-1 | 0.0* | 230.0 | Bedrock Cored for 1.8 m |
| BC-2 | 2.0 | 229.6 | Bedrock Cored for 3.1 m |
| BC-3 | 2.0 | 229.6 | Bedrock Cored for 3.1 m |
| BC-4 | 0.0* | 230.0 | Bedrock Cored for 2.0 m |

*Exposed bedrock at ground surface

The retrieved bedrock core is described as fine grained, moderately to faintly weathered, brown to grey, dolomitic limestone, as presented on the Record of Drillhole sheets in Appendix A. Photographs of the retrieved bedrock core samples are shown on Figure B2 in Appendix B.

The Total Core Recovery of the bedrock cored is 100 per cent and the Solid Core Recovery ranges between 60 per cent and 100 per cent. The Rock Quality Designation (RQD) measured on the core samples from below about the upper 1 m of the bedrock ranges from 9 per cent to 56 per cent indicating very poor to fair quality bedrock as per Table 3.10 of the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CFEM, 2006)³. Below the upper 1 m, the RQD of the bedrock core samples ranges from 92 per cent to 100 per cent indicating an excellent quality rock mass.

Laboratory Unconfined Comprehensive Strength (UCS) testing was carried out on four core samples of the bedrock and the uniaxial compressive strength tests results are shown in Table B2 in Appendix B. The UCS values are presented on the Record of Drillhole sheets and summarized below and the test results indicate that the bedrock is very strong as per Table 3.5 of the CFEM (2006).

| Borehole | Depth/Elevation (m) | UCS (MPa) |
|----------|---------------------|-----------|
| BC-1 | 1.2/228.8 | 139 |
| BC-2 | 3.6/228.0 | 181 |
| BC-3 | 3.2/228.4 | 223 |
| BC-4 | 1.5/228.5 | 151 |

4.2.4 Groundwater Conditions

Unstabilized groundwater levels measured in the open boreholes upon completion of drilling are summarized below. The water level in the creek was measured at Elevation 230.0 m on September 26, 2014.

³ Canadian Geological Society, 2003. Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual, 4th Edition.



FOUNDATION REPORT HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT, SITE 49-070

| Borehole No. | Depth to Groundwater Level (m) | Groundwater Elevation (m) |
|--------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| BC-1 | Ground Surface | 230.0 |
| BC-2 | 1.5 | 230.1 |
| BC-3 | 1.6 | 230.0 |
| BC-4 | Ground Surface | 230.0 |

Groundwater and creek water levels in the area are subject to seasonal fluctuations and to fluctuations after precipitation events and snowmelt.

5.0 CLOSURE

The field drilling program was supervised by Mr. Trevor Moxam and this report was prepared by Mr. Adam Core, E.I.T. and the technical aspects were reviewed by Mr. David Muldowney, P.Eng. André Bom, P.Eng., carried out an independent review of the report. Mr. Jorge Costa, P.Eng., Golder's Designated MTO Contact for this project, carried out a quality control review and reviewed the technical aspects of the report.



FOUNDATION REPORT HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT, SITE 49-070

Report Signature Page

GOLDER ASSOCIATES LTD.

Adam Core, E.I.T.
Geotechnical Engineering Intern



David Muldowney, P.Eng.
Geotechnical Engineer



Jorge M.A. Costa, P.Eng.
Designated MTO Contact, Principal

AC/DAM/JMAC/kp

Golder, Golder Associates and the GA globe design are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation.

n:\active\2013\1190 sudbury\1191\13-1191-0005 - aecom hwy 540\reporting\13-1191-0005-r03 rpt 15feb11 hwy 540 burnt creek fdr.docx



PART B

FOUNDATION DESIGN REPORT

HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT AT STA 17+802, SITE 49-070

TOWNSHIP OF ALLAN, MANITOULIN ISLAND, ONTARIO

MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION, ONTARIO

GWP 5057-07-00, WP 5060-07-01



6.0 DISCUSSION AND ENGINEERING RECOMMENDATIONS

This section of the report provides an interpretation of the factual geotechnical data obtained during the investigation and conclusions and recommendations on the foundation aspects of design of the proposed works. The recommendations provided are intended for the guidance of the design engineer. Where comments are made on construction, they are provided to highlight aspects of construction that could affect the design of the project. Those requiring information on aspects of construction must make their own interpretation of the subsurface information provided as such interpretation may affect their proposed construction methods, costs, equipment selection, scheduling and the like.

6.1 General

The existing Burnt Creek culvert is a 12.3 m long, 3 m wide and 1 m high open footing culvert and will be replaced with a 12 m long, 3.6 m wide and 1.2 m high open footing culvert. Concrete wing walls will be constructed at each of the four corners of the structure extending parallel to Highway 540 for a length of 3.5 m from the ends of the structure. The grade of the highway will essentially remain the same (Elevation 231.7 m) with only a minor grade raise as required for pavement reconstruction.

From a foundations perspective, an open footing culvert is recommended as bedrock is present at shallow depth at this site. Recommendations for a box culvert are also provided in the event that a box culvert is selected as the replacement structure.

6.2 Geotechnical Resistance

6.2.1 Open Footing Culvert

A factored geotechnical axial resistance at Ultimate Limit States (ULS) of 1,000 kPa may be used for design of the strip footings founded directly on the prepared bedrock surface. The geotechnical reaction at Serviceability Limit States (SLS) for footings founded on the bedrock will be equal to or greater than the factored geotechnical axial resistance at ULS and, therefore, the ULS values will govern for design.

The geotechnical resistances are given for loads applied perpendicular to the base of the footings. Where loads are not applied perpendicular to the base of the footings, inclination of the loads should be taken into account in accordance with Section 6.7.4 and Section C6.7.4 of the Canadian Highway Bridge Code (CHBDC) and its Commentary.

6.2.2 Concrete Box Culvert

The factored geotechnical axial resistance at Ultimate Limit State (ULS) for a box culvert founded on a granular bedding layer overlying the bedrock may be taken as 1,000 kPa. As the culvert is founded on bedrock, the geotechnical resistance at Serviceability Limit State (SLS) (for 25 mm of settlement), does not apply given that the bedrock is an unyielding foundation design. Depending on the bedrock surface elevation along the culvert alignment relative to the culvert invert elevation, leveling/lowering of the bedrock may be required to



accommodate bedding placement. Details regarding the granular bedding requirement are provided in Section 6.5.

The geotechnical resistances are given for loads applied perpendicular to the surface of the base of the culvert footings. Where loads are not applied perpendicular to the base of the footings, inclination of the loads should be taken into account in accordance with Section 6.7.4 and Section C6.7.4 of the Canadian Highway Bridge Code (CHBDC) and its Commentary.

6.2.3 Resistance to Lateral Loads/Sliding Resistance

Resistance to lateral forces/sliding resistance between the concrete culvert or concrete wing wall footings and the bedrock surface should be calculated in accordance with Section 6.7.5 of the CHBDC. For the precast concrete culvert placed on compacted granular material, the coefficient of friction $\tan \delta = 0.45$. For cast-in-place wing walls footings (or footings for an open footings culvert) founded directly on bedrock, the coefficient of friction is $\tan \delta = 0.7$. These values are unfactored.

6.2.4 Frost Protection

The estimated frost penetration depth at this site is 1.6 m, as per OPSD 3090.101 (Foundation, Frost Penetration Depths for Southern Ontario).

Where the footings are founded directly on bedrock, frost protection is not considered necessary.

Closed bottom box culverts are typically not provided with the standard depth for frost protection as closed bottom box culverts are tolerant to small magnitudes related to freeze-thaw cycles should these occur. The granular bedding placed below the culvert should be kept to a limited thickness to bring the grade from the bedrock surface to founding level.

6.3 Stability, Settlement and Horizontal Strain

The following sections summarize the results of stability, settlement and horizontal strains analyses along the culvert beneath the influence of the proposed embankment loading.

6.3.1 Stability

Based on the existing/proposed embankment geometry and the subsurface conditions at this site, granular fill embankments at this site will be stable at side slopes not steeper than 2 Horizontal to 1 Vertical (2H:1V).

6.3.2 Settlement

As the proposed culvert will be founded directly on bedrock or on a granular bedding layer over bedrock, total settlement of the culvert is expected to be less than 25 mm.



It is recommended that OPSS.PROV 1010 (Aggregates) Granular 'A' or 'B' Type I or II be used for embankment reconstruction at the culvert location. Where granular fill will be placed below the groundwater/water level, Granular 'B' Type II should be used. The material placed above the water level should be compacted in accordance with OPSS 501 (Compacting). Compression settlement of the granular fill placed below water and from properly compacted embankment fill placed above water is expected to occur during construction.

6.3.3 Horizontal Strain

As the culvert will be founded directly on bedrock or on a granular bedding layer over bedrock, horizontal strain along the culvert will be negligible. As a result, culvert construction concurrent with the embankment construction can be carried out without the need for any foundation mitigation measures or culvert camber.

6.4 Lateral Earth Pressures

The lateral earth pressures acting on the side walls, wing walls, and head walls of the culvert will depend on the type and method of placement of backfill materials, the nature of soils/embankment fill behind the backfill, the magnitude of surcharge including construction loadings, the freedom of lateral movement of the structure, and the drainage conditions behind the walls.

The following recommendations are made concerning the design of the culvert, wing walls and head walls. It should be noted that these design recommendations and parameters are applicable to level backfill and ground surface behind the walls. Where there is sloping ground behind the walls, the coefficient of lateral earth pressure must be adjusted to account for the slope.

- Select, free draining granular fill meeting the requirements of OPSS.PROV 1010 (Aggregates) Granular 'A' or Granular 'B' Type II but with less than 5 per cent passing the 200 sieve (0.075 mm) should be used as backfill behind the culvert, wing walls and head walls. Longitudinal drains and weep holes should be installed to provide positive drainage of the granular backfill. Other aspects of the granular backfill requirements with respect to sub drains and frost taper should be in accordance with OPSD 3101.150 (Wall, Abutment, Backfill) and OPSD 3121.150 (Walls Retaining, Backfill).
- A minimum compaction surcharge of 12 kPa should be included in the lateral earth pressures for the structural design of the culvert, wing walls and head walls, in accordance with CHBDC Section 6.9.3 and Figure 6.6. Compaction equipment should be used in accordance with OPSS 501 (Compacting). Other surcharge loadings should be accounted for in the design as required.
- Granular fill may be placed either in a zone with the width equal to at least 1.6 m behind the back of the walls for a restrained wall (see Figure C6.20(a) of the Commentary to the CHBDC), or within the wedge shaped zone defined by a line drawn at 1.5 H:1V extending up and back from the rear face of the base of the walls for an unrestrained wall (see Figure C6.20(b) of the Commentary to the CHBDC). The pressures are based on the proposed embankment fill material and the following parameters (unfactored) may be used:



FOUNDATION REPORT HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT, SITE 49-070

| Fill Type | Unit Weight | Coefficients of Static Lateral Earth Pressure | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------|
| | | At-Rest, K_o | Active, K_a |
| Granular 'A' | 22 kN/m ³ | 0.43 | 0.27 |
| Granular 'B' Type I or II | 21 kN/m ³ | 0.43 | 0.27 |

If the culvert structure, wing walls and head walls allow for lateral yielding, active earth pressures may be used in the geotechnical design of the structures. If the culvert structure, wing walls and head walls do not allow lateral yielding, at-rest earth pressures should be assumed for geotechnical design. The movement to allow active pressures to develop within the backfill, and thereby assume an unrestrained structure, may be taken as presented in Table C6.6 of the Commentary to the CHBDC.

6.5 Culvert Construction Considerations

6.5.1 Excavations, Subgrade Preparation and Backfill

As the proposed culvert and wing walls will be founded on bedrock, a temporary support system comprised of sheet piling will not be feasible at this site. Soldier piles and lagging (with the piles socketted into bedrock or supported by tie backs or rakers) may be used for support of the excavation along the structure, as well as along the roadway for traffic protection. Temporary excavation support systems should be designed and constructed in accordance with OPSS 539 (Temporary Protection Systems). Temporary excavation support systems should be designed to Performance Level 2 for any excavation adjacent to existing roadways. Alternatively, the culvert may be installed using open-cut excavations with a maximum temporary side slope of 1H:1V or flatter within the existing fill (short-term excavations). The existing fill at this site may be classified as Type 3 soil. All excavations must be carried out in accordance with Ontario Regulation 213 Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act for Construction Projects (as amended). In addition, provisions for traffic control measures should be included in the Contract Documents to maintain the safe operation of the existing Highway 540.

Given the proposed dimensions of the replacement culvert, it is assumed that the new culvert, and associated wing walls, will be constructed with the same alignment and at the same invert level as the existing culvert. Since the bedrock surface level within the boreholes varies, with a 0.4 m difference between the inlet/outlet and the centre of the culvert (on the alignment), bedrock excavation may be required to found the culvert and/or wing wall footings at the required depth. As the bedrock is classified as very strong, it would require pre-drilling and hoe ramming to allow it to be excavated.

If a box culvert is selected, the box culvert should be installed in accordance with OPSS 422 as modified by SP 422S01 (Precast Concrete Box Culvert). The box culvert should be constructed on a minimum 300 mm thick layer of OPSS.PROV.1010 (Aggregates) Granular 'A' or Granular 'B' Type II material for bedding purposes. Bedding for the box culvert could be constructed in either dry or wet conditions as follows:

- Where excavations will be unwatered to allow for construction of the culvert in dry conditions (see Section 6.5.3), the bedding should be placed in lifts not exceeding 200 mm loose thickness, and compacted to at least 95 per cent of the Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD) of the material as specified



FOUNDATION REPORT HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT, SITE 49-070

in OPSS 501 (Compacting). The structural design of the culvert should take into consideration the conditions for bedding placement and compaction in accordance with the requirements of Section 7.8.3.6 of the CHBDC.

- Alternatively, the culvert could be installed in wet conditions depending on the season of construction and water level at the time of installation. The water level should be lower than the proposed surface of the bedding. In this case, the bedding should consist of Granular 'B' Type II and be nominally compacted by the construction equipment. The design of the culvert should be based on the bedding having achieved a moderate level of compaction – if a degree of compaction is needed for design, a relative density of 90 per cent of the SPMDD should be assumed.

Groundwater control may be required during installation of the culvert and construction of the wing wall footings as further discussed in Section 6.5.3.

The thickness of fill placed during backfilling should be maintained equal on both sides of the culvert with one side not exceeding the other by more than 400 mm as per OPSS 422 (Concrete Box Culverts). New granular fill should be keyed into the existing embankment side or cut slopes as per the requirements of OPSD 208.010 (Benching of Earth Slopes) to minimize differential settlement between the existing embankment and the newly placed embankment fill.

The structure should be designed for the full overburden stress and appropriate live loads, assuming a fill unit weight of 22 kN/m^3 for Granular 'A' and 21 kN/m^3 for Granular 'B' Type II backfill above and surrounding the structure. Inspection and field density testing should be carried out by qualified personnel during fill placement operations to ensure that appropriate materials are used and that adequate levels of compaction have been achieved.

Prior to placement of the roadway granular subbase and base courses, the final lift of embankment fill should be compacted to 100 per cent of the SPMDD. Inspection and field density testing should be carried out by qualified personnel during fill placement operations to ensure that appropriate materials are used and that adequate levels of compaction have been achieved.

6.5.2 Erosion Protection

If a box culvert is selected, a concrete cut-off wall or clay seal may be required at the culvert inlet and outlet in order to prevent surface water from flowing either beneath the culvert (potentially causing undermining and scouring) or around the culvert (creating seepage through the embankment fill, and potentially causing erosion and loss of fine soil particles). The requirements for and design of erosion protection measures for the inlet and outlet of the culvert should be assessed by the hydraulics design engineer.

6.5.3 Control of Groundwater and Surface Water

The creek flow will need to be diverted/piped during construction of the culvert. Surficial water seepage into the excavation should be expected and will be heavier during periods of sustained precipitation. Seepage from the granular fills should be expected, particularly after precipitation events. It is anticipated that this surficial seepage can be controlled by using properly filtered sumps within the excavation.



An appropriate unwatering strategy will be required for construction of the cast-in-place concrete wing walls footings in the dry. The unwatering strategy will need to consider the water-bearing granular fill and potential seepage from fractures within the dolomitic limestone bedrock. It is recommended that an NSSP be included in the Contract to address unwatering at this site; a sample NSSP is included in Appendix C.

6.5.4 Analytical Testing for Construction Materials

The analytical test results on a sample of creek water taken adjacent to the existing structure are presented in Table B1. The suite of parameters tested is intended to allow the structural engineer to assess the requirements for the appropriate type of cement to be used in construction and the need for corrosion protection.

7.0 CLOSURE

This report was prepared by Mr. Adam Core E.I.T. and Mr. David Muldowney, P.Eng. André Bom, P.Eng., carried out an independent review of the report. Mr. Jorge Costa, P.Eng., Golder's Designated MTO Contact, carried out a quality control review and reviewed the technical aspects of the report.



FOUNDATION REPORT HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT, SITE 49-070

Report Signature Page

GOLDER ASSOCIATES LTD.

Adam Core, E.I.T.
Geotechnical Engineering Intern



David Muldowney, P.Eng.
Geotechnical Engineer



Jorge M.A. Costa, P.Eng.
Designated MTO Contact, Principal

AC/DAM/JMAC/kp

Golder, Golder Associates and the GA globe design are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation.

n:\active\2013\1190 sudbury\1191\13-1191-0005 - aecom hwy 540\reporting\13-1191-0005-r03 rpt 15feb11 hwy 540 burnt creek fdr.docx



REFERENCES

Canadian Geotechnical Society, 2006. Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual, 4th Edition.

Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code (CHBDC) and Commentary on CAN/CSA-S6-06. 2006. CSA Special Publication, S6.1-06. Canadian Standard Association.

Ministry of Natural Resources, electronic mapping obtained 2014, MRD128, 2006.

Ministry of Natural Resources, electronic mapping obtained 2014, MRD219, 2007.

STANDARDS

ASTM International:

| | |
|------------|---|
| ASTM D1586 | Standard Test Method for Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils |
|------------|---|

Contract Design Estimation and Documentation (CDED):

| | |
|-----------|------------------------------|
| SP 422S01 | Precast Concrete Box Culvert |
|-----------|------------------------------|

Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act

| | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Ontario Regulation 213 | Construction Projects (as amended) |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|

Ontario Provincial Standard Drawing





| | |
|---------------|---|
| OPSD 208.010 | Benching of Earth Slopes |
| OPSD 3090.101 | Foundation, Frost Penetration Depths for Southern Ontario |
| OPSD 3101.150 | Walls, Abutment, Backfill, Minimum Granular Requirement |
| OPSD 3121.150 | Walls, Retaining, Backfill, Minimum Granular Requirement |

Ontario Provincial Standard Specification


| | |
|----------------|--|
| OPSS 422 | Construction Specification for Precast Reinforced Concrete Box Culverts and Box Sewers in Open Cut |
| OPSS 501 | Construction Specification for Compacting |
| OPSS 539 | Construction Specification for Temporary Protection Systems |
| OPSS.PROV 1010 | Material Specification for Aggregates – Base, Subbase, Select Subgrade and Backfill Material |

Ontario Water Resources Act

| | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Ontario Regulation 372/97 | Amendment to Ontario Regulation 903 |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|



PROFILE




METRIC
DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES AND/OR
MILLIMETRES UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN.
STATIONS IN KILOMETRES + METRES.

DITCH

CONT No. .
WP No.5060-07-01

HIGHWAY 540
BURNT CREEK CULVERT STA 17+802
BORE-HOLE LOCATIONS AND SOIL STRATA



LEGEND

- Borehole - Current Investigation
- N Standard Penetration Test Value
- 16 Blows/0.3m unless otherwise stated (Std. Pen. Test, 475 j/blow)
- 100% Rock Quality Designation (RQD)
- WL upon completion of drilling

BOREHOLE CO-ORDINATES

| No. | ELEVATION | NORTHING | EASTING |
|------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| BC-1 | 230.0 | 5084084.9 | 317720.8 |
| BC-2 | 231.6 | 5084096.1 | 317720.2 |
| BC-3 | 231.6 | 5084092.2 | 317713.2 |
| BC-4 | 230.0 | 5084102.5 | 317713.1 |

NOTES

This drawing is for subsurface information only. The proposed structure details/works are shown for illustration purposes only and may not be consistent with the final design configuration as shown elsewhere in the Contracts Documents.

The boundaries between soil strata have been established only at borehole locations. Between boreholes the boundaries are assumed from geological evidence.

The complete Foundation Investigation and Design Report for this project and other related documents may be examined at the Materials Engineering and Research Office, Downsview. Information contained in this report and related documents is specifically excluded in accordance with Section GC 2.01 of OPS General Conditions.

REFERENCE

Base plans provided in digital format by AECOM, drawing file nos. GWP 5057-07-00 WITH POINTS ON.dwg, received NOV 06, 2014 and 60302964-S60.dwg, received NOV 06, 2014.



| NO. | DATE | BY | REVISION |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | | | |
| Geocres No. 41G-19 | | | |
| HWY. 540 | PROJECT NO. 13-1191-0005 | DIST. . | |
| SUBM'D. | CHKD. AC | DATE: FEB 2015 | SITE: 49-070 |
| DRAWN: TB | CHKD. DAM | APPD. JMAC | DWG. 1 |



SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Photograph 1: Looking West (September 2014)



Photograph 2: Looking East (September 2014)





APPENDIX A

Record of Boreholes and Drillholes



LIST OF SYMBOLS

Unless otherwise stated, the symbols employed in the report are as follows:

I. GENERAL

| | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| π | 3.1416 |
| $\ln x$, | natural logarithm of x |
| \log_{10} | x or log x, logarithm of x to base 10 |
| g | acceleration due to gravity |
| t | time |
| FoS | factor of safety |

II. STRESS AND STRAIN

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| γ | shear strain |
| Δ | change in, e.g. in stress: $\Delta \sigma$ |
| ε | linear strain |
| ε_v | volumetric strain |
| η | coefficient of viscosity |
| ν | Poisson's ratio |
| σ | total stress |
| σ' | effective stress ($\sigma' = \sigma - u$) |
| σ'_{vo} | initial effective overburden stress |
| $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ | principal stress (major, intermediate, minor) |
| σ_{oct} | mean stress or octahedral stress $= (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3)/3$ |
| τ | shear stress |
| u | porewater pressure |
| E | modulus of deformation |
| G | shear modulus of deformation |
| K | bulk modulus of compressibility |

III. SOIL PROPERTIES

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| (a) | Index Properties |
| $\rho(\gamma)$ | bulk density (bulk unit weight)* |
| $\rho_d(\gamma_d)$ | dry density (dry unit weight) |
| $\rho_w(\gamma_w)$ | density (unit weight) of water |
| $\rho_s(\gamma_s)$ | density (unit weight) of solid particles |
| γ' | unit weight of submerged soil ($\gamma' = \gamma - \gamma_w$) |
| D_R | relative density (specific gravity) of solid particles ($D_R = \rho_s / \rho_w$) (formerly G_s) |
| e | void ratio |
| n | porosity |
| S | degree of saturation |

(a) Index Properties (continued)

| | |
|-------------|--|
| w | water content |
| w_l or LL | liquid limit |
| w_p or PL | plastic limit |
| I_p or PI | plasticity index = $(w_l - w_p)$ |
| w_s | shrinkage limit |
| I_L | liquidity index = $(w - w_p) / I_p$ |
| I_C | consistency index = $(w_l - w) / I_p$ |
| e_{max} | void ratio in loosest state |
| e_{min} | void ratio in densest state |
| I_D | density index = $(e_{max} - e) / (e_{max} - e_{min})$ (formerly relative density) |

(b) Hydraulic Properties

| | |
|---|---|
| h | hydraulic head or potential |
| q | rate of flow |
| v | velocity of flow |
| i | hydraulic gradient |
| k | hydraulic conductivity (coefficient of permeability) |
| j | seepage force per unit volume |

(c) Consolidation (one-dimensional)

| | |
|-------------|---|
| C_c | compression index (normally consolidated range) |
| C_r | recompression index (over-consolidated range) |
| C_s | swelling index |
| C_α | secondary compression index |
| m_v | coefficient of volume change |
| C_v | coefficient of consolidation (vertical direction) |
| C_h | coefficient of consolidation (horizontal direction) |
| T_v | time factor (vertical direction) |
| U | degree of consolidation |
| σ'_p | pre-consolidation stress |
| OCR | over-consolidation ratio = σ'_p / σ'_{vo} |

(d) Shear Strength

| | |
|------------------|--|
| τ_p, τ_r | peak and residual shear strength |
| ϕ' | effective angle of internal friction |
| δ | angle of interface friction |
| μ | coefficient of friction = $\tan \delta$ |
| c' | effective cohesion |
| c_u, s_u | undrained shear strength ($\phi = 0$ analysis) |
| p | mean total stress $(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)/2$ |
| p' | mean effective stress $(\sigma'_1 + \sigma'_3)/2$ |
| q | $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2$ or $(\sigma'_1 - \sigma'_3)/2$ |
| q_u | compressive strength $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)$ |
| S_t | sensitivity |

* Density symbol is ρ . Unit weight symbol is γ where $\gamma = \rho g$ (i.e. mass density multiplied by acceleration due to gravity)

Notes: 1
2

$$\tau = c' + \sigma' \tan \phi'$$
$$\text{shear strength} = (\text{compressive strength})/2$$



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

The abbreviations commonly employed on Records of Boreholes, on figures and in the text of the report are as follows:

I. SAMPLE TYPE

| | |
|----|---------------------|
| AS | Auger sample |
| BS | Block sample |
| CS | Chunk sample |
| DS | Denison type sample |
| FS | Foil sample |
| RC | Rock core |
| SC | Soil core |
| SS | Split-spoon |
| ST | Slotted tube |
| TO | Thin-walled, open |
| TP | Thin-walled, piston |
| WS | Wash sample |

II. PENETRATION RESISTANCE

Standard Penetration Resistance (SPT), N:

The number of blows by a 63.5 kg. (140 lb.) hammer dropped 760 mm (30 in.) required to drive a 50 mm (2 in.) drive open sampler for a distance of 300 mm (12 in.)

Dynamic Cone Penetration Resistance; N_d :

The number of blows by a 63.5 kg (140 lb.) hammer dropped 760 mm (30 in.) to drive uncased a 50 mm (2 in.) diameter, 60° cone attached to "A" size drill rods for a distance of 300 mm (12 in.).

PH: Sampler advanced by hydraulic pressure

PM: Sampler advanced by manual pressure

WH: Sampler advanced by static weight of hammer

WR: Sampler advanced by weight of sampler and rod

Piezo-Cone Penetration Test (CPT)

A electronic cone penetrometer with a 60° conical tip and a project end area of 10 cm² pushed through ground at a penetration rate of 2 cm/s. Measurements of tip resistance (Q_t), porewater pressure (PWP) and friction along a sleeve are recorded electronically at 25 mm penetration intervals.

III. SOIL DESCRIPTION

(a) Non-Cohesive (Cohesionless) Soils

| Density Index | N |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| Relative Density | Blows/300 mm or Blows/ft |
| Very loose | 0 to 4 |
| Loose | 4 to 10 |
| Compact | 10 to 30 |
| Dense | 30 to 50 |
| Very dense | over 50 |

(b) Cohesive Soils Consistency

| | C_u, S_u | |
|------------|------------|----------------|
| | kPa | psf |
| Very soft | 0 to 12 | 0 to 250 |
| Soft | 12 to 25 | 250 to 500 |
| Firm | 25 to 50 | 500 to 1,000 |
| Stiff | 50 to 100 | 1,000 to 2,000 |
| Very stiff | 100 to 200 | 2,000 to 4,000 |
| Hard | over 200 | over 4,000 |

IV. SOIL TESTS

| | |
|----------|---|
| w | water content |
| w_p | plastic limit |
| w_l | liquid limit |
| C | consolidation (oedometer) test |
| CHEM | chemical analysis (refer to text) |
| CID | consolidated isotropically drained triaxial test ¹ |
| CIU | consolidated isotropically undrained triaxial test with porewater pressure measurement ¹ |
| D_R | relative density (specific gravity, G_s) |
| DS | direct shear test |
| M | sieve analysis for particle size |
| MH | combined sieve and hydrometer (H) analysis |
| MPC | Modified Proctor compaction test |
| SPC | Standard Proctor compaction test |
| OC | organic content test |
| SO_4 | concentration of water-soluble sulphates |
| UC | unconfined compression test |
| UU | unconsolidated undrained triaxial test |
| V | field vane (LV-laboratory vane test) |
| γ | unit weight |

Note: 1 Tests which are anisotropically consolidated prior to shear are shown as CAD, CAU.

V. MINOR SOIL CONSTITUENTS

| Per cent by Weight | Modifier | Example |
|--------------------|--|---|
| 0 to 5 | Trace | Trace sand |
| 5 to 12 | Trace to Some (or Little) | Trace to some sand |
| 12 to 20 | Some | Some sand |
| 20 to 30 | (ey) or (y) | Sandy |
| over 30 | And (non-cohesive (cohesionless)) or With (cohesive) | Sand and Gravel Silty Clay with sand / Clayey Silt with sand |



LITHOLOGICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL ROCK DESCRIPTION TERMINOLOGY

WEATHERINGS STATE

Fresh: no visible sign of weathering

Faintly weathered: weathering limited to the surface of major discontinuities.

Slightly weathered: penetrative weathering developed on open discontinuity surfaces but only slight weathering of rock material.

Moderately weathered: weathering extends throughout the rock mass but the rock material is not friable.

Highly weathered: weathering extends throughout rock mass and the rock material is partly friable.

Completely weathered: rock is wholly decomposed and in a friable condition but the rock and structure are preserved.

BEDDING THICKNESS

| Description | Bedding Plane Spacing |
|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Very thickly bedded | Greater than 2 m |
| Thickly bedded | 0.6 m to 2 m |
| Medium bedded | 0.2 m to 0.6 m |
| Thinly bedded | 60 mm to 0.2 m |
| Very thinly bedded | 20 mm to 60 mm |
| Laminated | 6 mm to 20 mm |
| Thinly laminated | Less than 6 mm |

JOINT OR FOLIATION SPACING

| Description | Spacing |
|------------------|------------------|
| Very wide | Greater than 3 m |
| Wide | 1 m to 3 m |
| Moderately close | 0.3 m to 1 m |
| Close | 50 mm to 300 mm |
| Very close | Less than 50 mm |

GRAIN SIZE

| Term | Size* |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Very Coarse Grained | Greater than 60 mm |
| Coarse Grained | 2 mm to 60 mm |
| Medium Grained | 60 microns to 2 mm |
| Fine Grained | 2 microns to 60 microns |
| Very Fine Grained | Less than 2 microns |

Note: * Grains greater than 60 microns diameter are visible to the naked eye.

CORE CONDITION

Total Core Recovery (TCR)

The percentage of solid drill core recovered regardless of quality or length, measured relative to the length of the total core run.

Solid Core Recovery (SCR)

The percentage of solid drill core, regardless of length, recovered at full diameter, measured relative to the length of the total core run.

Rock Quality Designation (RQD)

The percentage of solid drill core, greater than 100 mm length, recovered at full diameter, measured relative to the length of the total core run. RQD varied from 0% for completely broken core to 100% for core in solid sticks.

DISCONTINUITY DATA

Fracture Index

A count of the number of discontinuities (physical separations) in the rock core, including both naturally occurring fractures and mechanically induced breaks caused by drilling.

Dip with Respect to Core Axis

The angle of the discontinuity relative to the axis (length) of the core. In a vertical borehole a discontinuity with a 90° angle is horizontal.

Description and Notes

An abbreviation description of the discontinuities, whether naturally occurring separations such as fractures, bedding planes and foliation planes or mechanically induced features caused by drilling such as ground or shattered core and mechanically separated bedding or foliation surfaces. Additional information concerning the nature of fracture surfaces and infillings are also noted.

Abbreviations

| | |
|---------------------|-------------------|
| JN Joint | PL Planar |
| FLT Fault | CU Curved |
| SH Shear | UN Undulating |
| VN Vein | IR Irregular |
| FR Fracture | K Slickensided |
| SY Stylolite | PO Polished |
| BD Bedding | SM Smooth |
| FO Foliation | SR Slightly Rough |
| CO Contact | RO Rough |
| AXJ Axial Joint | VR Very Rough |
| KV Karstic Void | |
| MB Mechanical Break | |

| PROJECT <u>13-1191-0005</u> | | RECORD OF BOREHOLE No BC-1 | | | | 1 OF 1 METRIC | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---------|------|------------|--|-----------------|---|----------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| W.P. <u>5060-07-01</u> | | LOCATION <u>N 5084088.2; E 317720.8</u> | | | | ORIGINATED BY <u>TM</u> | | | | | | | |
| DIST <u> </u> HWY <u>540</u> | | BOREHOLE TYPE <u>NW Casing, NQ Coring</u> | | | | COMPILED BY <u>AC</u> | | | | | | | |
| DATUM <u>GEODETIC</u> | | DATE <u>September 30, 2014</u> | | | | CHECKED BY <u>DAM</u> | | | | | | | |
| SOIL PROFILE | | | SAMPLES | | | DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT | | PLASTIC LIMIT NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT LIQUID LIMIT | | | UNIT WEIGHT γ kN/m ³ | REMARKS & GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%) GR SA SI CL | |
| ELEV DEPTH | DESCRIPTION | STRAT PLOT | NUMBER | TYPE | "N" VALUES | GROUND WATER CONDITIONS | ELEVATION SCALE | SHEAR STRENGTH kPa | | WATER CONTENT (%) | | | |
| 230.0 | GROUND SURFACE | | | | | | | 20 40 60 80 100 | 20 40 60 | | | | |
| 0.0 | DOLOMITIC LIMESTONE (BEDROCK) | | 1 | RC | REC 100% | | 229 | | | | | | |
| | Bedrock cored from surface to 1.8 m depth. For coring details see Record of Drillhole BC-1. | | 2 | RC | REC 100% | | | | | | | | |
| 228.2 | END OF BOREHOLE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.8 | Note: 1. Water level at ground surface. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

SUD-MTO 001 13-1191-0005.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 24/11/14 DATA INPUT:

PROJECT: 13-1191-0005

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: BC-1

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5084088.2 ;E 317720.8

DRILLING DATE: September 30, 2014

DATUM: GEODETIC

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: —

DRILL RIG: CME 55

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Landcore

| DEPTH SCALE METRES | DRILLING RECORD | DESCRIPTION | SYMBOLIC LOG | ELEV. DEPTH (m) | RUN No. | COLOUR % RETURN | FLUSH | RECOVERY | | R.Q.D. % | FRACT. INDEX METRES | DISCONTINUITY DATA | | | | | HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY | | Diametral Point Load Index (MPa) | RMC -Q AVG | NOTES WATER LEVELS INSTRUMENTATION | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----|----|---------------------------|------------|---|------------------|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | | | | | | | TOTAL CORE % | SOLID CORE % | | | B Angle | DIP w.r.t CORE AXIS | TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION | Jr | Ja | Jn | k, cm/s | | | | 10 ⁻⁶ | 10 ⁻⁵ | 10 ⁻⁴ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | CME 55 NQ Coring | TOP OF BEDROCK | | 230.0 | 1 | GREY 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: TM

CHECKED: DAM

SUD-RCK 13-1191-0005.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 24/11/14 DATA INPUT:

| PROJECT | | 13-1191-0005 | | RECORD OF BOREHOLE No BC-2 | | 1 OF 1 METRIC | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|--------------|---------|-----------------------------------|------------|---|-----------------|---|--|--|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| W.P. | | 5060-07-01 | | LOCATION | | N 5084096.1; E 317720.2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| DIST | | HWY 540 | | BOREHOLE TYPE | | 108mm ID Continuous Flight Hollow Stem Augers, NW Casing, NQ Coring | | | | | | | | | | |
| DATUM | | GEODETIC | | DATE | | September 24, 2014 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ORIGINATED BY | | TM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | COMPILED BY | | AC | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | CHECKED BY | | DAM | | | | | | | | | | |
| SOIL PROFILE | | | SAMPLES | | | GROUND WATER CONDITIONS | ELEVATION SCALE | DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT | | | | PLASTIC LIMIT W _p | NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT W | LIQUID LIMIT W _L | UNIT WEIGHT γ kN/m ³ | REMARKS & GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%) GR SA SI CL |
| ELEV DEPTH | DESCRIPTION | STRAT PLOT | NUMBER | TYPE | "N" VALUES | | | SHEAR STRENGTH kPa | | | | | | | | |
| 231.6 | GROUND SURFACE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.0 | ASPHALT (125 mm) | | 1 | AS | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sand and gravel, trace to some silt (FILL) | | 2 | SS | 13 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Compact Brown Moist | | 3 | SS | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 229.6 | DOLOMITIC LIMESTONE (BEDROCK) | | 1 | RC | REC 100% | | | | | | | | | | | 35 54 (11) |
| 2.0 | Bedrock cored from 2.0 m to 5.1 m depth. | | 2 | RC | REC 100% | | | | | | | | | | | RQD = 11% |
| | For coring details see Record of Drillhole BC-2. | | 3 | RC | REC 100% | | | | | | | | | | | RQD = 92% |
| 226.5 | END OF BOREHOLE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | RQD = 100% |
| 5.1 | Note: 1. Water level at a depth of 1.5 m below ground surface (Elev. 230.1 m) upon completion of drilling. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

SUD-MTO 001 13-1191-0005.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 24/11/14 DATA INPUT:

PROJECT: 13-1191-0005

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: BC-2

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5084096.1 ;E 317720.2

DRILLING DATE: September 24, 2014

DATUM: GEODETIC

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: —

DRILL RIG: CME 55

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Landcore

| DEPTH SCALE METRES | DRILLING RECORD | DESCRIPTION | SYMBOLIC LOG | ELEV. DEPTH (m) | RUN No. | COLOUR % RETURN | FLUSH | RECOVERY | | R.Q.D. % | FRACT. INDEX METRES | DISCONTINUITY DATA | | | | HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY | | Diametral Point Load Index (MPa) | RMC -Q AVG | NOTES WATER LEVELS INSTRUMENTATION | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----|---------------------------|----|---|------------------|--|------------|---|--|---|---|------------------|
| | | | | | | | | TOTAL CORE % | SOLID CORE % | | | B Angle | DIP w.r.t CORE AXIS | TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION | Jr | Ja | Jn | | | | k, cm/s | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | JN - Joint FLT - Fault SHR - Shear VN - Vein CJ - Conjugate | BD - Bedding FO - Foliation CO - Contact OR - Orthogonal CL - Cleavage | PL - Planar CU - Curved UN - Undulating ST - Stepped IR - Irregular | PO - Polished K - Slickensided SM - Smooth Ro - Rough MB - Mechanical Break | BR - Broken Rock |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | NW | TOP OF BEDROCK | | 229.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | DOLOMITIC LIMESTONE | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Fine grained | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Slightly to moderately weathered | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Grey | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Very strong | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Clay infill at 2.5 m depth. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Fresh to faintly weathered below 2.9 m depth. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | CME 55 NQ Coring | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | END OF DRILLHOLE | | 226.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | 5.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

DEPTH SCALE

1 : 50



LOGGED: TM

CHECKED: DAM

SUD-RCK 13-1191-0005.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 24/11/14 DATA INPUT:

| PROJECT | | 13-1191-0005 | | RECORD OF BOREHOLE No BC-3 | | 1 OF 1 METRIC | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|--------------|---------|-----------------------------------|------------|---|-----------------|--------------------|---|----------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| W.P. | | 5060-07-01 | | LOCATION | | N 5084092.2; E 317713.2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DIST | | HWY 540 | | BOREHOLE TYPE | | 108mm ID Continuous Flight Hollow Stem Augers, NW Casing, NQ Coring | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DATUM | | GEODETIC | | DATE | | September 24, 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ORIGINATED BY | | TM | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | COMPILED BY | | AC | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | CHECKED BY | | DAM | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SOIL PROFILE | | | SAMPLES | | | DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT | | | PLASTIC LIMIT NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT LIQUID LIMIT | | | UNIT WEIGHT | | | REMARKS & GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%) | | |
| ELEV DEPTH | DESCRIPTION | STRAT PLOT | NUMBER | TYPE | "N" VALUES | GROUND WATER CONDITIONS | ELEVATION SCALE | SHEAR STRENGTH kPa | | | | | WATER CONTENT (%) | | | γ kN/m ³ | GR SA SI CL |
| | | | | | | | | 20 40 60 80 100 | 20 40 60 80 100 | 20 40 60 | W _p W W _L | | | | | | |
| 231.6 | GROUND SURFACE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.0 | ASPHALT (75 mm) | | 1 | AS | - | | 231 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sand and gravel, trace silt (FILL) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Loose Brown Moist | | 2 | SS | 9 | | 230 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cobbles inferred from augers grinding throughout. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 229.7 | | | 3 | SS | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.0 | SILT and SAND, trace to some clay Brown Wet | | 1 | RC | REC 100% | | 229 | | | | | | | | | | RQD = 28% |
| | DOLOMITIC LIMESTONE (BEDROCK) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Bedrock cored from 2.0 m to 5.1 m depth. | | 2 | RC | REC 100% | | 228 | | | | | | | | | | RQD = 96% |
| | For coring details see Record of Drillhole BC-3. | | 3 | RC | REC 100% | | 227 | | | | | | | | | | RQD = 100% |
| 226.5 | END OF BOREHOLE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.1 | Note: 1. Water level at a depth of 1.6 m below ground surface (Elev. 230.0 m) upon completion of drilling. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

SUD-MTO 001 13-1191-0005.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 24/11/14 DATA INPUT:

SHEET 1 OF 1

DATUM: GEODETIC

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Landcore

CHECKED: DAM

SUD-RCK 13-1191-0005.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 24/11/14 DATA INPUT:

| PROJECT | | 13-1191-0005 | | | | RECORD OF BOREHOLE No BC-4 | | | | 1 OF 1 | | METRIC | | | | | |
|---------------|--|--------------|---------|---------------|-------------|---|-----------------|---|--|---------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| W.P. | | 5060-07-01 | | LOCATION | | N 5084102.5; E 317713.1 | | | | ORIGINATED BY | | | | | | | |
| DIST | | HWY 540 | | BOREHOLE TYPE | | 108mm ID Continuous Flight Hollow Stem Augers, NW Casing, NQ Coring | | | | COMPILED BY | | | | | | | |
| DATUM | | GEODETIC | | DATE | | September 30, 2014 | | | | CHECKED BY | | | | | | | |
| DAM | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SOIL PROFILE | | | SAMPLES | | | GROUND WATER CONDITIONS | ELEVATION SCALE | DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT | | | | | PLASTIC LIMIT NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT LIQUID LIMIT | | | UNIT WEIGHT γ kN/m ³ | REMARKS & GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%) GR SA SI CL |
| ELEV DEPTH | DESCRIPTION | STRAT PLOT | NUMBER | TYPE | "N" VALUES | | | SHEAR STRENGTH kPa | | | | | WATER CONTENT (%) | | | | |
| 230.0 | GROUND SURFACE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.0 | DOLOMITIC LIMESTONE (BEDROCK) | | 1 | RC | REC 100% | | | | | | | | | | | RQD = 56% | |
| | Bedrock cored from surface to 2.0 m depth. For coring details see Record of Drillhole BC-4. | | 2 | RC | REC 100% | | | | | | | | | | | RQD = 100% | |
| 228.0 | END OF BOREHOLE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.0 | Note: 1. Water level at ground surface. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

SUD-MTO 001 13-1191-0005.GPJ GAL-MISS.GDT 24/11/14 DATA INPUT:

PROJECT: 13-1191-0005

RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: BC-4

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOCATION: N 5084102.5 ;E 317713.1

DRILLING DATE: September 30, 2014

DATUM: GEODETIC

INCLINATION: -90° AZIMUTH: —

DRILL RIG: CME 55

DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Landcore

| DEPTH SCALE METRES | DRILLING RECORD | DESCRIPTION | SYMBOLIC LOG | ELEV. DEPTH (m) | RUN No. | COLOUR % RETURN | FLUSH | RECOVERY | | R.Q.D. % | FRACT. INDEX METRES | B Angle | DIP w.r.t CORE AXIS | TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION | Jr | Ja | Jn | HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY k, cm/s | Diametral Point Load Index (MPa) | RMC -Q AVG | NOTES WATER LEVELS INSTRUMENTATION |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------------|-------|---|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----|----|----|--------------------------------------|---|------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | TOTAL CORE % | SOLID CORE % | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 88 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



APPENDIX B

Laboratory Test Results



FOUNDATION REPORT HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT, SITE 49-070

Table B1 - Summary of Analytical Testing of Burnt Creek Water Sample

| Parameter | Units | Reportable Detection Limit | Result |
|--------------------|---------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Dissolved Chloride | mg/L | 1 | 3 |
| Dissolved Sulphate | mg/L | 1 | Not Detected |
| Conductivity | µmho/cm | 1 | 410 |
| Resistivity | ohm-cm | n/a | 2,400 |
| pH | n/a | n/a | 8.17 |

Notes: 1. Sample obtained on October 5, 2014.

2. Analytical testing carried out by Maxxam Analytics.

Compiled by: DAM
Reviewed by: JMAC

Golder Associates Ltd.

1010 Lorne Street
Sudbury, Ontario, Canada P3C 4R9
Telephone: (705) 524-6861
Fax: (705) 524-1984




Table B2- Summary of Rock Core Test Data

PROJECT NO.: **13-1191-0005**
PROJECT NAME: **Burnt Creek Culvert**
TYPE OF UNIT: **Rock Core**
TESTED BY: **S.Albert**


| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| GOLDER LAB NUMBER | G1089 | G1021 | G1022 | G1088 |
| BOREHOLE-SAMPLE NUMBER: | BC-1 | BC-2 | BC-3 | BC4 |
| DATE TESTED | Nov. 3, 2014 | October 16, 2014 | October 16, 2014 | Nov. 3, 2014 |
| DEPTH OF TESTED CORE (m) | 1.2 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 1.5 |
| LENGTH AS CUT (mm) | 101.0 | 103.0 | 102.0 | 100.0 |
| DIAMETER (mm) | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 |
| DENSITY (kg/m3) | 2798 | 2828 | 2781 | 2791 |
| COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (KN) | 246.5 | 321.1 | 395.3 | 266.9 |
| CORRECTED STRENGTH (MPa) | 139.1 | 181.2 | 223.1 | 150.6 |
| TYPE OF FRACTURE | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

Type of Fracture



COMMENTS:

Reviewed by: **T. Gauthier**



| GRAIN SIZE, mm | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|
| CLAY AND SILT | fine | medium | coarse | fine | coarse | Cobble Size |
| | SAND SIZE | | | GRAVEL SIZE | | |

LEGEND

| SYMBOL | BOREHOLE | SAMPLE | ELEV (m) |
|--------|----------|--------|----------|
| ● | BC-2 | 3 | 229.8 |

| | | | | | |
|---|------|--------------|--|---------------------------|-----|
| PROJECT | | | | | |
| HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT | | | | | |
| TITLE | | | | | |
| GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION SAND and GRAVEL (FILL) | | | | | |
| PROJECT No. | | 13-1191-0005 | | FILE No. 13-1191-0005.GPJ | |
| DRAWN | TB | Nov 2014 | | SCALE | N/A |
| CHECK | DAM | Nov 2014 | | REV. | |
| APPR | JMAC | Nov 2014 | | FIGURE B1 | |





Borehole BC-1
Elevation 230.0 m to 228.2m




Borehole BC-2
Elevation 229.6 m to 226.5 m




Borehole BC-3
Elevation 229.6 m to 226.5 m



Borehole BC-4
Elevation 230.0 m to 228.0 m



| | | | |
|--|-------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| PROJECT | | HIGHWAY 540 BURNT CREEK CULVERT | |
| TITLE | | BEDROCK CORE PHOTOGRAPHS | |
|  | PROJECT No. | 13-1191-0005 | FILE No. ---- |
| | DESIGN | AC Nov. 2014 | SCALE AS SHOWN REV. |
| | CADD | -- | |
| | CHECK | DAM Nov. 2014 | |
| | REVIEW | JMAC Nov. 2014 | FIGURE B2 |



APPENDIX C

Non-Standard Special Provisions

GROUNDWATER CONTROL - Item No.

Non-Standard Special Provision

Foundations for the Burnt Creek culvert replacement and wing wall construction will require excavations to extend below the groundwater level at the site. The non-cohesive fill material (sand and gravel) and native soil (silt and sand) that is present below the groundwater table will slough, run or cave into the excavation unless appropriate groundwater controls are in place. The Contractor is to design and install an appropriate groundwater control system for the culvert site to enable installation of the culvert and construction of the wing walls in dry conditions.

Basis of Payment

Payment at the lump sum contract price for this Tender Item shall be full compensation for all labour, equipment and materials for completion of the work.

END OF SECTION

As a global, employee-owned organisation with over 50 years of experience, Golder Associates is driven by our purpose to engineer earth's development while preserving earth's integrity. We deliver solutions that help our clients achieve their sustainable development goals by providing a wide range of independent consulting, design and construction services in our specialist areas of earth, environment and energy.

For more information, visit golder.com

| | |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Africa | + 27 11 254 4800 |
| Asia | + 86 21 6258 5522 |
| Australasia | + 61 3 8862 3500 |
| Europe | + 356 21 42 30 20 |
| North America | + 1 800 275 3281 |
| South America | + 56 2 2616 2000 |

solutions@golder.com
www.golder.com

Golder Associates Ltd.
1010 Lorne Street
Sudbury, Ontario, P3C 4R9
Canada
T: +1 (705) 524 6861

