

Golder Associates Ltd.

2390 Argentia Road
Mississauga, Ontario, Canada L5N 5Z7
Telephone: (905) 567-4444
Fax: (905) 567-6561



FINAL REPORT ON

**DETAIL DESIGN
FOUNDATION INVESTIGATION AND DESIGN
NOISE BARRIER
G.W.P. 190-00-01
MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION, ONTARIO
OAKVILLE, ONTARIO**

Submitted to:

URS Canada Inc.
75 Commerce Valley Drive East
Markham, Ontario
L3T 7N9

GEOCRES NO. 30M5-261

DISTRIBUTION

- 5 Copies - Ministry of Transportation, Ontario,
Downsview, Ontario (Central Region)
- 1 Copy - Ministry of Transportation, Ontario,
Downsview, Ontario (Foundation Section)
- 2 Copies - URS Canada Inc.
Markham, Ontario
- 2 Copies - Golder Associates Ltd.,
Mississauga, Ontario



September 2009



011-1128-NB

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
PART A - FOUNDATION INVESTIGATION REPORT	
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION.....	2
3.0 INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES.....	3
3.1 Foundation Investigation	3
4.0 SITE GEOLOGY AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS.....	4
4.1 Regional Geology	4
4.2 Subsoil Conditions.....	4
4.2.1 Topsoil	4
4.2.2 Sand	5
4.2.3 Clayey Silt (Till).....	5
4.2.4 Bedrock.....	6
4.3 Groundwater Conditions.....	6
PART B - FOUNDATION DESIGN REPORT	
5.0 DISCUSSION AND ENGINEERING RECOMMENDATIONS.....	8
5.1 Noise Barrier Foundations.....	8
5.2 Construction Considerations	9

In Order
Following
Page 10

Lists of Abbreviations and Symbols
Record of Borehole Sheets (N-1, N-2 and N-3)
Drawing 1
Figures 1 to 3

LIST OF DRAWINGS

Drawing 1 Borehole Location Plan

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Grain Size Distribution – Sand
Figure 2 Grain Size Distribution – Clayey Silt
Figure 3 Plasticity Chart – Clayey Silt

PART A

**FOUNDATION INVESTIGATION REPORT
NOISE BARRIER
G.W.P. 190-00-01
MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION, ONTARIO
OAKVILLE, ONTARIO**

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder) has been retained by URS Canada Inc. (URS) on behalf of the Ministry of Transportation, Ontario (MTO) to carry out a foundation investigation for the proposed noise barrier as part of the detailed design for the new bridge structure over Sixteen Mile Creek in Oakville, Ontario.

The terms of reference for the scope of work are outlined in Golder's addendum proposal letter dated October 29 2004 and forms part of the Consultant's Agreement (Number P.O.2005-A-000219) for this project. The work was carried out in accordance with the Quality Control Plan for this project dated March 2000. This report addresses the noise barrier located at the northeast side of the new structure over Sixteen Mile Creek.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located on the Queen Elizabeth Way (QEW) on the east side of the Sixteen Mile Creek Valley between Trafalgar Road and Dorval Drive in Oakville, Ontario. The proposed noise barrier will be located at the northeast corner of the proposed bridge which will form the new west bound lanes (WBL) of the QEW in this area. The wall is immediately adjacent to and runs perpendicular to Sixth Line, which runs in the north south direction.

The noise barrier is located on the tableland of the Sixteen Mile Creek Valley and the topography of the site is relatively flat grassland with landscaping on adjacent residential properties.

3.0 INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES

3.1 Foundation Investigation

The field work at the noise barrier site was carried out on January 28, 2005 at which time three (3) boreholes, numbered N-1 N-2 and N-3 were advanced. The locations of these boreholes are shown on Drawing 1.

The current field investigation was carried out using a truck-mounted D 90 drill rig supplied and operated by DBW Drilling of Toronto, Ontario. The boreholes were advanced using 108 mm outside diameter (O.D.) solid stem augers. Soil samples were obtained at intervals ranging from 0.75 m to 1.5 m in depth, using a 50 mm outer diameter (O.D.) split-spoon sampler in accordance with Standard Penetration Test (SPT) procedures.

The boreholes were advanced to depths ranging from 6.3 m to 6.4 m below the existing ground surface. The groundwater conditions in the open boreholes were observed during the drilling operations and are described on the Record of Borehole sheets that follow the text of this report. Upon completion of drilling the holes were backfilled with bentonite pellets.

The field work was supervised throughout by members of our engineering and technical staff, who located the boreholes, arranged for the clearance of underground service locations, supervised the drilling, sampling and in-situ testing operations, logged the boreholes, and examined and cared for the soil and rock samples. The samples were identified in the field, placed in appropriate containers, labelled and transported to our Mississauga geotechnical laboratory where the samples underwent further detailed visual examination and laboratory testing. All of the laboratory tests were carried out to MTO and/or ASTM Standards as appropriate. Classification testing (water content, Atterberg Limits and grain size distribution) was carried out on selected samples.

The boreholes were laid out in the field by Golder Associates based on the property lines staked by URS. The locations of the as-drilled boreholes were measured in the field relative to the staked locations and the northings and eastings coordinates and elevations determined using the digital terrain mapping (DTM) were provided by URS. The borehole locations, including NAD 83 MTM northing and easting coordinates and ground surface elevations referenced to geodetic datum are shown on Drawing 1.

4.0 SITE GEOLOGY AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

4.1 Regional Geology

The site is located in the physiographic region known as the Iroquois Plain. The Iroquois Plain is generally composed of shallow deposits of sand and till covering portions between Hamilton and Toronto (Chapman and Putnam, "The Physiography of Southern Ontario", 3rd Edition, 1984). The surface topography of the tableland slopes gradually and fairly uniformly towards Lake Ontario. The overburden at the site consists of a shallow cover of residual soil which is underlain by bedrock comprised of red shale of the Queenston Formation. The adjacent Sixteen Mile Creek valley has been cut through surficial deposits of glacial till as well as the Queenston shale, which is exposed on the valley walls. At the base of the valley, shallow floodplain/alluvial deposits of silty sand and clayey silt are present overlying grey shale of the Georgian Bay Formation. The Georgian Bay shale is exposed as a rock face at the base of the east slope.

4.2 Subsoil Conditions

The detailed subsurface soil and groundwater conditions encountered at each of the boreholes advanced during this investigation are provided on the Record of Borehole Sheets following the text report. Included on each of the Record of Borehole Sheets are the results of the laboratory tests carried out on selected soil samples. The stratigraphic boundaries shown on the Record of Borehole sheets are inferred from non-continuous sampling, observations of drilling progress and the results of Standard Penetration Tests (SPT). These boundaries, therefore, represent transitions between soil types rather than exact planes of geological change. Further, subsurface conditions will vary between and beyond the borehole locations. The location of the boreholes are shown on Drawing 1.

The subsoil conditions at the noise barrier site consist of a thin layer of topsoil overlying a sand deposit which in turn is underlain by a deposit of clayey silt till containing shale fragments. Bedrock of the Queenston formation was encountered below the clayey silt till deposit in each of the boreholes. A more detailed description of the subsurface conditions encountered along the length of the noise barrier is presented in the following sections.

4.2.1 Topsoil

A thin layer of topsoil ranging between 0.1 m and 0.2 m in thickness was encountered at the existing ground surface in all boreholes. The existing ground surface ranged between Elevation 109.5 m to 109.6 m.

4.2.2 Sand

A sand deposit was encountered below the topsoil in all three boreholes. The reddish-brown sand deposit contained trace to some silt, trace gravel and was encountered between Elevations 109.3 m and 109.5 m. The deposit ranges between 2.2 m and 2.4 m in thickness at the borehole locations.

The measured Standard Penetration Testing (SPT) 'N' values within the sand ranges between 8 and 100 blows per 0.3 m of penetration indicating a loose to very dense relative density. The lower 'N' values (i.e. less than 20 blows) were encountered closer to the ground surface. One grain size distribution on the sand deposit is shown on Figure 1 and indicate the sample to be predominately a fine sand.

The natural water content measured on samples of the sand deposit ranges between 7 and 22 percent.

4.2.3 Clayey Silt (Till)

A deposit of clayey silt till containing sand and trace to some gravel was encountered below the sand deposit in all boreholes. The clayey silt till was typically red in colour becoming grey with depth. Occasional shale fragments were present in the samples obtained from Boreholes N-2 and N-3. The deposits surface was encountered between Elevations 106.9 m and 107.3 m and ranged between 2.9 m and 3.2 m in thickness at the borehole locations.

It should be noted that cobbles and boulders are inherent within glacially derived materials. Evidence of cobbles was noted in Borehole N-1 by a SPT 'N' value of greater than 100 blows per 0.3 m of penetration.

Measured Standard Penetration Testing (SPT) 'N' values within the clayey silt till deposit ranged between 25 and greater than 100 blows per 0.3 m of penetration, typically the values ranged between 25 and 65 blows per 0.3 m of penetration indicating that the deposit has a very stiff to hard consistency. Two grain size distributions were performed on samples of the clayey silt till deposit and the results are shown on Figure 2.

Atterberg testing was performed on two samples within the clayey silt till deposit. The liquid limits from the two samples are 22 and 24 percent and the plastic limits from the two samples are 13 and 14 percent. The plasticity index corresponding to the measured limits are 9 and 10 respectively. The results of the two Atterberg tests on the clayey silt till are plotted on Figure 3 and indicate that the till is a clayey silt of low plasticity.

The natural water content measured on samples of the clayey silt till deposit ranges between 7 and 13 percent.

4.2.4 Bedrock

The bedrock surface was encountered between Elevations 104.0 m and 104.1 m at each of the borehole locations. The boreholes were terminated after penetrating between 0.8 m and 0.9 m into the bedrock by split spoon sampling. The samples recovered consisted of red, completely weathered, shale bedrock of the Queenston Formation. Pockets of grey limestone/siltstone were observed in the samples from Borehole N-1.

The measured Standard Penetration Testing (SPT) 'N' values from samples taken within the bedrock ranges between 60 and greater than 100 blows per 0.3 m of penetration.

One natural water content measured on the bedrock indicated a water content of about 8 percent.

4.3 Groundwater Conditions

The water levels were noted during and after the drilling operations in the boreholes and are shown on the Record of Borehole Sheets. The water level in the open boreholes upon completion of drilling was encountered at about Elevation 103.7 m in Boreholes N-1 and N-2. This water level corresponds to about the surface of the bedrock. In Borehole N-3 which is near the crest of the valley, the open borehole was dry upon completion of drilling.

It should be noted that groundwater levels in the area are subject to seasonal fluctuations and will be higher during periods of heavy precipitation.

GOLDER ASSOCIATES LTD.



Sarah E. M. Coyne, P.Eng.,
Geotechnical Engineer



Fintan J. Heffernan, P.Eng.,
Designated MTO Contact

BML/SEP/FJH/bml

N:\Active\2001\1100\011-1128\Reporting\Noise Barrier\Final\011-1128 RPT 09Sept Final Report Noise Barrier.doc

PART B
FOUNDATION DESIGN REPORT
NOISE BARRIER
G.W.P. 190-00-01
MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION, ONTARIO
OAKVILLE, ONTARIO

5.0 DISCUSSION AND ENGINEERING RECOMMENDATIONS

This section of the report provides parameters and recommendations regarding the geotechnical aspects of design for the proposed noise barrier, to be located at the north east corner of the proposed WBL structure of the QEW (Station 0+000 to 0+095). The design parameters and recommendations have been developed based on interpretation of the factual data obtained during subsurface investigations at the site. The interpretation and recommendations provided are intended only to provide the designers with sufficient information to assess and to design the proposed noise barrier foundations. As such, where comments are made on construction, they are provided only in order to highlight those aspects which could affect the design of the project. Those requiring information on aspects of construction should make their own interpretation of the factual information provided as it may affect the equipment selection, proposed construction methods, scheduling and the like.

5.1 Noise Barrier Foundations

It is assumed that the noise barrier wall extension will be supported using augered caissons between about 0.6 m to 0.9 m in diameter. Design parameters for the soils encountered in the boreholes advanced along the wall alignment between station 0+000 to 0+095 are given in the following table. It should be noted that the stratigraphy presented in the table has been simplified for the purposes of the noise barrier wall foundation design. Sloped boundaries between strata, as identified in the table below, may be taken as a straight line between the listed coordinates. A thin 0.2 m thick layer of topsoil was encountered at the ground surface at each borehole location.

<i>Location Along Wall</i>	<i>Reference Borehole</i>	<i>Elevation Interval</i>	<i>Soil Stratum</i>	<i>Design Parameters</i>					
				γ	γ'	ϕ'	c_u	K_p	<i>Water Level</i>
0+095 to 0+065	N-1	G.S. 109.6 m to Elev. 107.0 m	Sand	20	10	31	-	3.1	Elev. 103.8 m
		Elev. 107.0 m to 104.1 m	Clayey Silt (Till)	22	12	32	-	3.3	
		Below Elev. 104.1 m	Weathered Shale Bedrock	23	13	40	-	4.6	
0+065 to 0+030	N-2	G.S. 109.5 m to Elev. 106.9 m	Sand	20	10	31	-	3.1	Elev. 103.7 m
		Elev. 106.9 m to Elev. 104.0 m	Clayey Silt (Till)	22	12	32	-	3.3	
		Below Elev. 104.0 m	Weathered Shale Bedrock	23	13	40	-	4.6	
0+030 to 0+000	N-3	G.S. 109.6 m to Elev. 107.3 m	Sand	20	10	31	-	3.1	Elev. 103.8 m
		Elev. 107.3 m to Elev. 104.1 m	Clayey Silt (Till)	22	12	32	-	3.3	
		Below Elev. 104.1 m	Weathered Shale Bedrock	23	13	40	-	4.6	

Notes:

G.S. is the ground surface;

c_u	is the undrained shear strength (kPa);
ϕ'	is the effective angle of friction ($^\circ$); and
γ	is the bulk unit weight (kN/m^3).

The effective unit weight ($\gamma' = \gamma - 10 \text{ kN/m}^3$) should be used below the groundwater table.

Where both the undrained shear strength, c_u , and the effective friction angle, ϕ' , have been given for a specific stratum, the caisson design should be checked for both the drained and the undrained condition, and the larger of the two calculated caisson depths shall govern.

For foundation design, full passive resistance will be mobilized only where the width of soil in front or behind the caissons is equal to or greater than eight caisson diameters. If there is less width of soil for development of passive resistance (i.e. if there is sloping ground adjacent to the noise barrier), the magnitude of the passive resistance may be determined by interpolating between zero passive resistance at ground surface and full passive resistance at the depth where the slope face is greater than eight caisson diameters away from the face of the caisson. In addition, the passive resistance in front of the caisson within the upper 1.2 m below ground surface should be neglected to account for frost action.

5.2 Construction Considerations

At the noise barrier, the sockets for the foundations will generally be formed within the clayey silt till deposit. The caissons (augered holes) will be advanced through sand and clayey silt till. The use of a temporary liner is recommended to advance the auger holes in order minimize disturbance of the sand deposit and minimize ground loss into the caisson excavations. Based on the water level at the completion of drilling, the augered holes will generally be dry extending into the clayey silt till. However, natural fluctuations in the groundwater table at the time of construction may increase the level of water encountered in the holes. Seepage of groundwater into the holes should be expected.

In addition, the Contractor's proposed excavation techniques should be able to accommodate removal or breaking up of cobbles/boulders which are expected to be encountered in the clayey silt till materials.

It is recommended that a Non-Standard Special Provision (NSSP) be included in the Contract Documents to warn the Contractor of the following items which are expected to affect the installation of the noise barrier wall foundations at this site:

- **Control of overburden soils and groundwater:** Excavations for the noise barrier foundations will be advanced through the sand deposit. It should be expected that the sand deposit will be susceptible to disturbance, and to be unstable below the groundwater level if the water table is encountered within the deposit if construction is carried out in very wet periods of the year. It should be anticipated that the caisson

holes may have to be advanced using a temporary liner in the sand in order to minimize ground loss during drilling and concrete placement.

- **Cobbles and boulders:** The clayey silt till deposit is expected to contain cobbles and boulders. Appropriate equipment and procedures will be required to penetrate such obstructions during excavation for the noise barrier foundations.

GOLDER ASSOCIATES LTD.



Sarah E. M. Coyne, P.Eng.,
Geotechnical Engineer



Fintan J. Heffernan, P.Eng.,
Designated MTO Contact

BML/SEP//FJH/bml

N:\Active\2001\1100\011-1128\Reporting\Noise Barrier\Final\011-1128 RPT 09Sept Final Report Noise Barrier.doc

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

The abbreviations commonly employed on Records of Boreholes, on figures and in the text of the report are as follows:

I. SAMPLE TYPE

AS	Auger sample
BS	Block sample
CS	Chunk sample
SS	Split-spoon
DS	Denison type sample
FS	Foil sample
RC	Rock core
SC	Soil core
ST	Slotted tube
TO	Thin-walled, open
TP	Thin-walled, piston
WS	Wash sample

III. SOIL DESCRIPTION

(a) Cohesionless Soils

Density Index (Relative Density)	N Blows/300 mm or Blows/ft.
Very loose	0 to 4
Loose	4 to 10
Compact	10 to 30
Dense	30 to 50
Very dense	over 50

II. PENETRATION RESISTANCE

Standard Penetration Resistance (SPT), N:

The number of blows by a 63.5 kg. (140 lb.) hammer dropped 760 mm (30 in.) required to drive a 50 mm (2 in.) drive open sampler for a distance of 300 mm (12 in.)

Consistency

	c_u, s_u	kPa	psf
Very soft		0 to 12	0 to 250
Soft		12 to 25	250 to 500
Firm		25 to 50	500 to 1,000
Stiff		50 to 100	1,000 to 2,000
Very stiff		100 to 200	2,000 to 4,000
Hard		over 200	over 4,000

Dynamic Cone Penetration Resistance; N_d :

The number of blows by a 63.5 kg (140 lb.) hammer dropped 760 mm (30 in.) to drive uncased a 50 mm (2 in.) diameter, 60° cone attached to "A" size drill rods for a distance of 300 mm (12 in.).

PH: Sampler advanced by hydraulic pressure

PM: Sampler advanced by manual pressure

WH: Sampler advanced by static weight of hammer

WR: Sampler advanced by weight of sampler and rod

Piezo-Cone Penetration Test (CPT)

A electronic cone penetrometer with a 60° conical tip and a project end area of 10 cm² pushed through ground at a penetration rate of 2 cm/s. Measurements of tip resistance (Q_t), porewater pressure (PWP) and friction along a sleeve are recorded electronically at 25 mm penetration intervals.

IV. SOIL TESTS

w	water content
w_p	plastic limit
w_l	liquid limit
C	consolidation (oedometer) test
CHEM	chemical analysis (refer to text)
CID	consolidated isotropically drained triaxial test ¹
CIU	consolidated isotropically undrained triaxial test with porewater pressure measurement ¹
D_R	relative density (specific gravity, G_s)
DS	direct shear test
M	sieve analysis for particle size
MH	combined sieve and hydrometer (H) analysis
MPC	Modified Proctor compaction test
SPC	Standard Proctor compaction test
OC	organic content test
SO ₄	concentration of water-soluble sulphates
UC	unconfined compression test
UU	unconsolidated undrained triaxial test
V	field vane (LV-laboratory vane test)
γ	unit weight

Note: 1 Tests which are anisotropically consolidated prior to shear are shown as CAD, CAU.

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Unless otherwise stated, the symbols employed in the report are as follows:

I. General

π	3.1416
$\ln x$,	natural logarithm of x
\log_{10}	x or log x, logarithm of x to base 10
g	acceleration due to gravity
t	time
F	factor of safety
V	volume
W	weight

II. STRESS AND STRAIN

γ	shear strain
Δ	change in, e.g. in stress: $\Delta \sigma$
ϵ	linear strain
ϵ_v	volumetric strain
η	coefficient of viscosity
ν	poisson's ratio
σ	total stress
σ'	effective stress ($\sigma' = \sigma - u$)
σ'_{vo}	initial effective overburden stress
$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$	principal stress (major, intermediate, minor)
σ_{oct}	mean stress or octahedral stress $= (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3)/3$
τ	shear stress
u	porewater pressure
E	modulus of deformation
G	shear modulus of deformation
K	bulk modulus of compressibility

III. SOIL PROPERTIES

(a) Index Properties

$\rho(\gamma)$	bulk density (bulk unit weight*)
$\rho_d(\gamma_d)$	dry density (dry unit weight)
$\rho_w(\gamma_w)$	density (unit weight) of water
$\rho_s(\gamma_s)$	density (unit weight) of solid particles
γ'	unit weight of submerged soil ($\gamma' = \gamma - \gamma_w$)
D_R	relative density (specific gravity) of solid particles ($D_R = \rho_s / \rho_w$) (formerly G_s)
e	void ratio
n	porosity
S	degree of saturation

(a) Index Properties (continued)

w	water content
w_l	liquid limit
w_p	plastic limit
I_p	plasticity index $= (w_l - w_p)$
w_s	shrinkage limit
I_L	liquidity index $= (w - w_p) / I_p$
I_C	consistency index $= (w_l - w) / I_p$
e_{max}	void ratio in loosest state
e_{min}	void ratio in densest state
I_D	density index $= (e_{max} - e) / (e_{max} - e_{min})$ (formerly relative density)

(b) Hydraulic Properties

h	hydraulic head or potential
q	rate of flow
v	velocity of flow
i	hydraulic gradient
k	hydraulic conductivity (coefficient of permeability)
j	seepage force per unit volume

(c) Consolidation (one-dimensional)

C_c	compression index (normally consolidated range)
C_r	recompression index (over-consolidated range)
C_s	swelling index
C_a	coefficient of secondary consolidation
m_v	coefficient of volume change
c_v	coefficient of consolidation
T_v	time factor (vertical direction)
U	degree of consolidation
σ'_p	pre-consolidation pressure
OCR	over-consolidation ratio $= \sigma'_p / \sigma'_{vo}$

(d) Shear Strength

τ_p, τ_r	peak and residual shear strength
ϕ'	effective angle of internal friction
δ	angle of interface friction
μ	coefficient of friction $= \tan \delta$
c'	effective cohesion
c_u, s_u	undrained shear strength ($\phi = 0$ analysis)
p	mean total stress $(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)/2$
p'	mean effective stress $(\sigma'_1 + \sigma'_3)/2$
q	$(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)/2$ or $(\sigma'_1 + \sigma'_3)/2$
q_u	compressive strength $(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)$
S_t	sensitivity

- Notes:** 1 $\tau = c' + \sigma' \tan \phi'$
 2 shear strength = (compressive strength)/2
 * density symbol is ρ . Unit weight symbol is γ where $\gamma = \rho g$ (i.e. mass density x acceleration due to gravity)


PROJECT 011-1128		RECORD OF BOREHOLE No N-1		1 OF 1	METRIC
W.P. 190-00-01		LOCATION N 4812623.5 ; E 289131.7		ORIGINATED BY PKS	
DIST 4 HWY QEW		BOREHOLE TYPE Power Auger D-90, 108mm O.D. Solid Stem Auger		COMPILED BY BML	
DATUM Geodetic		DATE January 28, 2005		CHECKED BY SEP	

SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			GROUND WATER CONDITIONS	ELEVATION SCALE	DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT					PLASTIC LIMIT NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT LIQUID LIMIT			UNIT WEIGHT γ kN/m³	REMARKS & GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%)			
ELEV DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	STRAT PLOT	NUMBER	TYPE	"N" VALUES			SHEAR STRENGTH kPa					WATER CONTENT (%)				GR	SA	SI	CL
								20	40	60	80	100	w _p	w	w _L					
109.6	GROUND SURFACE																			
0.0	Topsoil																			
0.2	Sand, trace to some silt, trace gravel Loose to compact Dark brown/red Moist to wet		1	SS	11															
			2	SS	9															
			3	SS	21															
107.0			4	SS	61															
2.6	Clayey Silt with sand, trace to some gravel (Till) Very stiff to hard Reddish brown becoming grey with depth Wet		5	SS	100/15															
			6	SS	25															
			7	SS	27															
104.1																				
5.5	Highly to completely weathered, reddish brown SHALE BEDROCK (Queenston Formation) with pockets of limestone/siltstone																			
103.2			8	SS	60															
6.4	END OF BOREHOLE																			
	Notes: 1. Water level in open borehole at 5.8m depth (Elev. 103.8 m) upon completion of drilling.																			

PROJECT <u>011-1128</u>		RECORD OF BOREHOLE No N-2		1 OF 1	METRIC
W.P. <u>190-00-01</u>		LOCATION <u>N 4812600.6 ;E 289112.2</u>		ORIGINATED BY <u>PKS</u>	
DIST <u>4</u> HWY <u>QEW</u>		BOREHOLE TYPE <u>Power Auger D-90, 108mm O.D. Solid Stem Auger</u>		COMPILED BY <u>BML</u>	
DATUM <u>Geodetic</u>		DATE <u>January 28, 2005</u>		CHECKED BY <u>SEP</u>	

SOIL PROFILE			SAMPLES			GROUND WATER CONDITIONS	ELEVATION SCALE	DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT					PLASTIC NATURAL LIQUID LIMIT MOISTURE LIMIT CONTENT			UNIT WEIGHT γ kN/m ³	REMARKS & GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%) GR SA SI CL			
ELEV DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	STRAT PLOT	NUMBER	TYPE	"N" VALUES			SHEAR STRENGTH kPa					w _p	w	w _L					
								○ UNCONFINED + FIELD VANE ● QUICK TRIAXIAL × REMOULDED												
109.5	GROUND SURFACE							20	40	60	80	100								
0.0	Topsoil																			
0.2	Sand, trace to some silt, trace gravel Loose to dense Dark brown Moist		1	SS	8		109													
			2	SS	14															
			3	SS	75		108													
106.9			4	SS	100		107													
2.6	Clayey Silt with sand, trace to some gravel, shale fragments (Till) Very stiff to hard Reddish brown becoming grey with depth Wet																			
			5	SS	29		106													
			6	SS	31															
							105													
			7	SS	52															
104.0																				
5.5	Highly to completely weathered, reddish brown SHALE BEDROCK (Queenston Formation)						104													
103.1			8	SS	100															
6.4	END OF BOREHOLE																			
	Notes: 1. Water level in open borehole at 5.8m depth (Elev. 103.7 m) upon completion of drilling.																			

PROJECT		011-1128		RECORD OF BOREHOLE No N-3		1 OF 1		METRIC							
W.P.		190-00-01		LOCATION		N 4812572.6 ;E 289091.3		ORIGINATED BY							
DIST		4		HWY		QEW		BOREHOLE TYPE							
								Power Auger D-90, 108mm O.D. Solid Stem Auger							
DATUM		Geodetic		DATE		January 28, 2005		CHECKED BY							
								SEP							
SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES		GROUND WATER CONDITIONS		ELEVATION SCALE		DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT		PLASTIC LIMIT NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT LIQUID LIMIT		UNIT WEIGHT		REMARKS & GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%)	
ELEV DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	STRAT PLOT	NUMBER	TYPE	"N" VALUES										
109.6	GROUND SURFACE														
0.7	Topsoil Sand, trace to some silt, trace gravel Loose to compact Red Moist		1	SS	11										
	Mottled brown/red between 0.1m - 0.8m depth		2	SS	9										
			3	SS	51										
107.3	Clayey Silt with Sand, trace to some gravel, with shale fragments (Till) Hard Reddish brown, becoming grey below 3.7m depth Wet		4	SS	54										
2.3			5	SS	65										
			6	SS	56										
			7	SS	57										
104.1	Highly to completely weathered, reddish brown SHALE BEDROCK (Queenston Formation)		8	SS	60/.05										
5.5															
103.3	END OF BOREHOLE														
6.3	Notes: 1. Open borehole dry upon completion of drilling.														




METRIC
DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES AND/OR
MILLIMETRES UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN.
STATIONS IN KILOMETRES + METRES.

CONT No.
WP No. 190-00-01

SHEET

NOISE BARRIER
BOREHOLE LOCATION PLAN

Golder Associates Ltd.
MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO, CANADA



LEGEND				
Borehole - Current Investigation				
No.	ELEVATION	CO-ORDINATES		
		NORTHING	EASTING	
N-1	109.6	4812623.5	289131.7	
N-2	109.5	4812600.6	289112.2	
N-3	109.6	4812572.6	289091.3	

NOTES

The complete foundation investigation and design report for this project and other related documents may be examined at the Materials Engineering and Research Office, Downsview. Information contained in this report and related documents is specifically excluded in accordance with Section GC 2.01 of OPS General Conditions.


This drawing is for subsurface information only. The proposed works are shown for illustration purposes only and may not be consistent with the final design configuration as shown elsewhere in the Contract Documents.

REFERENCE				
Base plan provided in digital format by URS, drawing file no. nc_17900_16MileCreek.dwg date modified September 13, 2004, received March 07, 2005.				
NO.	DATE	BY	REVISION	
ocres No. 30M5-261				
HWY. QEW		PROJECT NO. 011-1128		DIST. 4
SUBM'D.	CHKD. BL	DATE: MAR. 2005		SITE:
DRAWN: JFC	CHKD. SEP	APPD.		DWG. 1

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Sand


FIGURE 1



GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Clayey Silt (Till)

FIGURE 2



SILT AND CLAY SIZES		FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE	COBBLE
FINE GRAINED		SAND SIZE			GRAVEL SIZE		SIZE

LEGEND

SYMBOL	BOREHOLE	SAMPLE	ELEVATION (m)
●	N-3	4	107.0
■	N-3	7	104.7

